

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Selpercatinib (Retevmo)

(Eli Lilly Canada Inc.)

Indication: Thyroid cancer

May 19, 2022

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PC0264-000			
Brand name (generic)	Selpercatinib (Retevmo)			
Indication(s) Retevmo is indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of: RET-m				
	medullary thyroid cancer in adult and pediatric patients 12 years	of age	and	
O		older with unresectable advanced or metastatic disease.		
Organization	Committee	Ontario Health (CCO) Head, Neck and Thyroid Cancer Drug Advisory Committee		
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Michael Odell			
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation			
1 Doos the stakeholder of	area with the committee's recommendation	Yes	\boxtimes	
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No		
to these patients.	able to apply directly where exposure to Vandetianib would be	dange	rous	
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input			
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	\boxtimes	
stakeholder input that y	our organization provided to CADTH?	No		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation			
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No	\boxtimes	
c. Are the reasons for the recommendation oldarly stated.				
4. 11 41 !	n ! haan alaanka antidata danada da waxata ka	Voc	\boxtimes	
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?		Yes		
444700004 III 1110 1000III		110		
5. If applicable, are the rei	mbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes	
	ded in the recommendation?	No		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
Ontario Health provides secretariat functions to the DAC.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Dr. Michael Odell		
Dr. Eric Winquist		
Dr. Stephanie Brule		

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Otalialia Islam Information			
Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number	PC0264-000		
Brand name (generic)	Retevmo (Selpercatinib)		
Indication(s)	Thyroid Cancer		
Organization	Pediatric Oncology Group of Ontario		
Contact information ^a	Name: Paul Gibson		
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation		
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No	
agent in children under 12. is inequitable disadvantage on this rarely impacted age funding solutions. Furthermore of front line therapy in 12-18 expected in late 2026, this contact in the second se	It that the committee did not consider the potential biologic pote. We feel that by deferring to the Health Canada approved indicate to younger children. It is extremely unlikely that future studies range will be undertaken, leaving this group without options for ore, we believe the decision to recognize yet not address the full year old patients further fuels inequity. While noting results mommittee is highlighting that it will be more than 5 years until ways for this rare group of patients.	ation, t focusir future nding ay be	here ng
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
•	ation of the statement input		
2. Does the recommendation	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you lift not, what aspects are miss Despite acknowledging the i	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Ca	No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you life not, what aspects are miss Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Cale reality of rare populations.	No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you lift not, what aspects are miss. Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommendation.	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation	No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you life not, what aspects are miss Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommendation.	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Cale reality of rare populations.	No anada	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you lift not, what aspects are miss Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommunity. 3. Are the reasons for the installation in the installation in the installation.	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation	No anada Yes	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you lift not, what aspects are miss. Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommunity. 3. Are the reasons for the Infinity please provide details	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation recommendation clearly stated?	No anada Yes	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you lift not, what aspects are miss. Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommunity. 3. Are the reasons for the Infinity please provide details	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No anada Yes No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that your lift not, what aspects are missed Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommendation. Are the reasons for the Information and the second state of the Information and	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately	No anada Yes No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that your lift not, what aspects are missed Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommendation. 3. Are the reasons for the Infinity please provide details. 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation. If not, please provide details.	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation? s regarding the information that requires clarification.	No anada Yes No	
2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that your lift not, what aspects are missed Despite acknowledging the indication, which ignores the Clarity of the draft recommendation. 3. Are the reasons for the infinity please provide details. 4. Have the implementation addressed in the recommendation. If not, please provide details. 5. If applicable, are the reinfinity stakeholder.	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? input provided, the committee chose to defer only the Health Care reality of rare populations. nendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	No anada Yes No Yes No	

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?		
	Yes	Ш
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	\boxtimes
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	П
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		_
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Please state full name
Position	Please state currently held position
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

Company		Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name					
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	nove rows as required				
New or Up	odated Declaration for Clinician	2			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi-	ition			
Date	Please add the date form was d		-MM-YYYY)		
	I hereby certify that I have the			information with r	espect to any
	matter involving this clinician or	-			•
	place this clinician or clinician g	roup in a real,	potential, or perce	eived conflict of in	terest situation.
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha				er the past two
years AND	who may have direct or indirect i	interest in the d			
_				riate Dollar Rang	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	Add or remove rows as required				
New or Up	odated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi-				
Date	Please add the date form was of				
\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the	-			
	matter involving this clinician or			•	•
place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest			terest situation.		
Conflict of	f Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha				er the past two
years AND	who may have direct or indirect i	interest in the d	lrug under review		
				riate Dollar Ran	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	nove rows as required				
1					

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may				
place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	је
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	ove rows as required				
Now or Un	deted Declaration for Clinician	5			
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician Please state full name	3			
Position					
Date	Please state currently held position Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any				
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may			•	
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			•	
Conflict of Interest Declaration					
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
			Check Appropriate Dollar Range		
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4

Please state full name

Please state currently held position

Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)

Name

Date

Position

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0264
Name of the drug and	Selpercatinib for RET-mutant MTC
Indication(s)	
Organization Providing	PAG
Feedback	

1. Recommendat Please indicate if the recommendation.	ion revisions ne stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarit	fy its
Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	Х
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions
Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested
None.

3. Clarity of the recommendation Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements
a) Recommendation rationale
In the Economic Evidence section, Cost and Effectiveness table, Treatment row, PAG is requesting the following addition "120 mg orally twice daily (for under 50 kg) or 160 mg orally twice daily (for 50 kg and above)."
b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons
None.
c) Implementation guidance
None.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0264-000
Brand name (generic)	Retevmo (Selpercatinib)
Indication(s)	For the treatment of RET-mutant medullary thyroid cancer in adult and pediatric patients 12 years of age and older with unresectable advanced or metastatic disease.
Organization	Canadian Cancer Society
Contact information ^a	Name: Sasha Frost Email: Phone:

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.

Yes	\boxtimes
No	

Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.

- Retevmo (Selpercatinib) addresses a therapeutic need as this variant of thyroid cancer is rare
 and incurable. As stated in the recommendation, there are currently no funded therapies
 available for patients with RET-mutant MTC who progressed on or are intolerant to first line
 therapy. Therefore, the recommendation to reimburse with conditions would help address this
 need
- According to our survey, thyroid cancer patients, in general, expressed the need for treatment options that will help them maintain their quality of life (QOL). Thirty-two percent of responses measuring the impact of side effects on their life fell into the moderate to significant range. If responses were limited to those with RET-mutant MTC with advanced or metastatic disease, this figure would likely have been much higher. Selpercatinib will provide an option for this rarer group of patients. According to the recommendation, clinician trial data demonstrated the majority of patients experienced either improvement in QOL or their QOL remained stable with only a smaller proportion experiencing a deterioration in QOL (page 6) which speaks to the need above.
- Based on the price reduction of 87% (or more) required for selpercatinib to be cost-effective, as per page 3 and 5 of the recommendation, this may result in a barrier for patients without other options if the price can not reach this figure.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?

If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?

Yes, however there was one small error on the recommendation. In the patient input section, it indicates that patients living with MTC were surveyed by the Canadian Cancer Society. However, it

was thyroid cancer patients overall due to the rarity of MTC and challenges associated with accessing patients with MTC within the submission window.			
Clarity of the draft recommendation			
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		\boxtimes	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.			
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?			
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. More information on the feasibility of adopting Selpercatinib is needed. Specifically, the costs associated with adding Selpercatinib to any drug formularies. Due to this uncertainty, it is noted additional price reductions beyond the suggested 87% may be necessary (page 5). More clarity may be helpful to decisionmakers.			
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale		\boxtimes	
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?			
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.			

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification. Contact information will not be included in any public posting of this document by CADTH.