

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

nivolumab (Opdivo)
(Bristol Myers Squibb Canada)

Indication: As a monotherapy for the adjuvant treatment of adult patients with urothelial carcinoma (UC) who are at high risk of recurrence after undergoing radical resection of UC

September 16, 2022

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

| Stakeholder information | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| CADTH project number | PC0272-000 | |
| Brand name (generic) | Nivolumab (Opdivo) | |
| Indication(s) | As a monotherapy for the adjuvant treatment of patients with MIUC who are at high risk of recurrence after undergoing radical resection of MIUC. | |
| Organization | Ontario Health (CCO) GU Cancer Drug Advisory Committee | |
| Contact information ^a | Name: Dr. Girish Kulkarni | |
| Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation | | |
| 1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input | | |
| 2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Clarity of the draft recommendation | | |
| 3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the [Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews](#) for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

| A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback | | |
|---|-----|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission? | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Ontario Health provided secretariat functions to the DAC. | | |
| 2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any information used in this submission? | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| No. | | |
| B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest | | |
| 3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dr. Girish Kulkarni Dr. Sebastien Hotte | | |

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

| Stakeholder information | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| CADTH project number | PC0273 |
| Name of the drug and Indication(s) | Nivolumab for urothelial carcinoma |
| Organization Providing Feedback | PAG |

1. Recommendation revisions

Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Request for Reconsideration | Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| No Request for Reconsideration | Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested | X |
| | No requested revisions | <input type="checkbox"/> |

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

In Table 1. Reimbursement Conditions and Reasons, PAG is requesting the following revisions:

- under the heading “discontinuation” third row, *“if treatment with nivolumab would be interrupted or delayed in the absence of disease progression, it would be reasonable to administer remaining doses of nivolumab.”*
- Under the heading “pricing” second row, include the percentage of patients in the clinical trial that received nivolumab which is not cost effective.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

In Table 2. Responses to Question from the Drug Programs, under the heading “funding algorithm (oncology only),” PAG is seeking clarity on the sequencing for patients whose disease recurs <6 months from adjuvant immunotherapy:

- Patients who got neoadjuvant platinum and adjuvant I/O
- Patients who only got the adjuvant I/O

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

None.

c) Implementation guidance

None.