

## **CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW**

# Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

dalbavancin (Xydalba)

(Paladin Labs Inc.)

Indication: Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections

November 3, 2022

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

# **CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information			
CADTH project number			
Brand name (generic)	Xydalba (Dalbavancin)		
Indication(s)	Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections due to Gram	-positi	/e
	bacteria susceptible to dalbavancin.		
Organization	CancerCare Manitoba		
Contact information <sup>a</sup>	Name: E.J. Bow MD, MSc., D. Bacteriol., FRCPC, FIDSA		
	Director, Infection Control Services,		
	CancerCare Manitoba,		
	675 McDermot Avenue,		
	Winnipeg, Manitoba,		
	R3E 0V9		
	e-mail:		
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation		
4. Describeratella la		Yes	$\boxtimes$
1. Does the stakeholder ag	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
The evidence presented wa	s focused upon ABSSSIs rather than other conditions such as	orimar	у
	carditis, or osteoarticular infections. The committee was careful		
	commend re-imbursement for only a narrow indication (proven of		
-	under circumstances where compliance with standard anti-bacte	erial	
regimens may be at risk.			
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input		
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	
	our organization provided to CADTH?	No	$\boxtimes$
	presence or absence of bloodstream infection as part of the AB		
	some clarification in this regard for reimbursement.		
-			
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation		
2. A 4		Yes	$\boxtimes$
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		No	
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately			$\boxtimes$
addressed in the recommendation?		Yes	
	mendation?	No	
The draft recommendation of	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding ho	No w to m	
The draft recommendation of the cost of standard anti-MF	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding hor RSA antibacterial therapy with specified agents such as vancom	No w to m ycin,	□ atch
The draft recommendation of the cost of standard anti-MF daptomycin or linezolid. An	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding ho	No w to m ycin,	□ atch
The draft recommendation of the cost of standard anti-MF	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding hor RSA antibacterial therapy with specified agents such as vancom	No w to m ycin,	□ atch
The draft recommendation of the cost of standard anti-MF daptomycin or linezolid. An regard.	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding hore RSA antibacterial therapy with specified agents such as vancomexample may have been helpful to illustrate the committee's interpretable.	No w to m nycin, ent in	□ atch
The draft recommendation of the cost of standard anti-MF daptomycin or linezolid. An regard.  5. If applicable, are the rein	mendation? caveat for pricing does not provide specific details regarding hor RSA antibacterial therapy with specified agents such as vancom	No w to m ycin,	atch

Vide supra #	ŧ4.
--------------	-----

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

### **Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups**

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
  - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
  - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
  - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
    that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
    clinicians who provided input are unchanged
  - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
  - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	$\boxtimes$
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	$\boxtimes$
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	$\boxtimes$
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

#### C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1		
Name	Eric J. Bow MD	
Position	Oncology and Transplant Infectious Diseases, Haematology/Oncology, Blood and Marrow	
	Transplant; Director, Infection Control Services, CancerCare Manitoba	
Date	Please add the date form was completed (31-10-2022)	
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.	

## **CADTH Reimbursement Review**

## **Feedback on Draft Recommendation**

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0728
Name of the drug and	Dalbavancin (Xydalba) for acute bacterial skin and skin structure
Indication(s)	infections.
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.				
Request for Reconsideration	<b>Major revisions:</b> A change in recommendation <b>category</b> or patient <b>population</b> is requested			
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested			
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested			
	No requested revisions	Х		

# **2.** Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

# 3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

### a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

#### b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

#### c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

# **Outstanding Implementation Issues**

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

## Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1.
- 2.

#### **Support strategy**

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.