

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

BELZUTIFAN (WELIREG)

(Merck Canada Inc.)

Indication: For the treatment of adult patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease who require therapy for associated non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC), central nervous system (CNS) hemangioblastomas, or non-metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNET), not requiring immediate surgery

August 18, 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PC0309				
Brand name (generic)	Welireg (belzutifan)				
Indication(s)	For the treatment of patients with VHL disease-associated non-				
, ,	metastatic RCC, CNS hemangioblastomas or non-metastatic pNET, not				
	requiring immediate surgery, consistent with the results of Mk	(-6482	-004		
	and in consideration of the high unmet need of these patients	who h	ave		
	no options besides surgical resections.				
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) CNS Cancer Drug Adv	isory			
	Committee				
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Sunit Das				
Stakeholder agreement w	ith the draft recommendation				
1 Dogo the stakeholder of	area with the committee's recommendation	Yes	X		
i. Does the stakeholder at	gree with the committee's recommendation.	No			
	ceholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. W	/henev	er		
	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale.				
possible, please identify the	specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	: _ 4 _			
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the		sociate	ed		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the	specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	sociate	ed		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors.	specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	sociate	ed		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerations.	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as	sociate			
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerations. 2. Does the recommendations.	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as eration of the stakeholder input		\boxtimes		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerations. 2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that y	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	×		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerations. 2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you like the place of the place	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication of patients with VHL as the indication of the stakeholder input indication in indication of the stakeholder input indicati	Yes	\boxtimes		
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerations. 2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you like the place of the place	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication of patients with VHL as the indication of the stakeholder input indication in indication of the stakeholder input indicati	Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers. 2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you like the endolymphatic sac tumors.	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the cour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	Yes No			
possible, please identify the The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers. 2. Does the recommendation stakeholder input that you like the draft recommendation. Clarity of the draft recommendation.	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerate stakeholder input that y lf not, what aspects are mis Clarity of the draft recommendate. Are the reasons for the	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as eration of the stakeholder input ion demonstrate that the committee has considered the cour organization provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation?	Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerate stakeholder input that y lf not, what aspects are misself considerate. Clarity of the draft recommendate stakeholder input that y lf not, what aspects are misself not, what aspects are misself not, please provide details	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication demonstrate that the committee has considered the rour organization provided to CADTH? In sing from the draft recommendation? In the information that requires clarification.	Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considerate stakeholder input that y lf not, what aspects are misself considerate. Clarity of the draft recommendate stakeholder input that y lf not, what aspects are misself not, what aspects are misself not, please provide details	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication of the stakeholder input the indication provided to CADTH? It is in a considered the recommendation provided to CADTH? It is in a considered the recommendation? In it is in a considered the recommendation of the information that requires clarification. In it is in a considered the information that requires clarification. In it is in a considered the information that requires clarification.	Yes No Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers at the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers at the recommendation of the draft recommendation of the life not, please provide details addressed in the recommendation addressed in the recommendation of the life not, please provide details and recommendation of the life not, please provide details and recommendation of the life not, please provide details and recommendation of the recommendation of the life not, please provide details and recommendation of the life not,	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication of the stakeholder input the indication provided to CADTH? It is in a considered the recommendation provided to CADTH? It is in a considered the recommendation? In it is in a considered the recommendation of the information that requires clarification. In it is in a considered the information that requires clarification. In it is in a considered the information that requires clarification.	Yes No Yes No Yes			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers at the endoly	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the information provided to CADTH? sing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? s regarding the information that requires clarification. In issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation? s regarding the information that requires clarification.	Yes No Yes No Yes No			
The CNS DAC would like the endolymphatic sac tumors. Expert committee considers at the recommendation of the draft recommendation of the draft recommendation of the life not, please provide details addressed in the recommendation of the life not, please provide details and please provide details of the life not, please please not please provide details of the life not, please please not please please not please not please not please not plea	e specific text from the recommendation and rationale. e recommendation to include the indication of patients with VHL as the recommendation of the stakeholder input the indication of the stakeholder input the indication of the stakeholder input the indication provided to CADTH? In sing from the draft recommendation? In issues been clearly articulated and adequately mendation?	Yes No Yes No Yes	ed S		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
OH-CCO has provided a secretariat function to the group.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	\boxtimes
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Dr. Sunit Das
Position	Lead, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) CNS Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	15-08-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr. Warren Mason
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) CNS Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	16-08-2023
×	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Range				je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck	\boxtimes			
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information					
CADTH project number	PC0309				
Brand name (generic)	Welireg (belzutifan)				
Indication(s)	For the treatment of patients with VHL disease-associated no metastatic RCC, CNS hemangioblastomas or non-metastatic requiring immediate surgery, consistent with the results of MK and in consideration of the high unmet need of these patients no options besides surgical resections.	pNET, (-6482	-004		
Organization	Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer D Advisory Committee ("GU DAC")	rug			
Contact information ^a	Name: Dr. Girish Kulkarni				
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation				
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No			
	eholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. W specific text from the recommendation and rationale.	henev	er		
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input				
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No			
If not, what aspects are miss	sing from the draft recommendation?				
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation				
3. Are the reasons for the	recommendation clearly stated?	Yes No			
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.				
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?					
	regarding the information that requires clarification.				
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale for the conditions provided in the recommendation?					
_	regarding the information that requires clarification.		_ 		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
OH-CCO provided a secretariat function to the group.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\square
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	\boxtimes
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 1
Name	Dr. Girish Kulkarni
Position	Lead, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	16-08-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Range				ge
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of 50,000 \$50,000			
Add company name				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr. Urban Emmenegger
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee
Date	16-08-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck	\boxtimes			
Add company name				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3		
Name	Dr. Sebastien Hotte		
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee		
Date	16-08-2023		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck				
Add company name				

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 4		
Name	Dr. Aly-Khan Lalani	
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee	

Date	16-08-2023
\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck	\boxtimes			

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 5		
Name	Dr. Chris Morash		
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee		
Date	16-08-2023		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Merck				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 6			
Name	Dr. Akmal Ghafoor			
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) Genitourinary Cancer Drug Advisory Committee			
Date	16-08-2023			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				



CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Todabaok on Brait Rodoninionaution				
Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	PC0309			
Name of the drug and Indication(s)	Belzutifan for patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease where require the rapy for associated non-metastatic renal cell carcinon central nervous system hemangioblastomas, or non-metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.			
Organization Providing Feedback	PAG			
 1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation. Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient ¬ 				

Request for	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
Reconsideration	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	
Reconsideration	No requested revisions	х

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.



c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

No algorithm is needed

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CADTH on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CADTH
- 1. 2.
- 3. Please specify questions or issues that should be addressed by CAPCA. (oncology only)
- 1. 2.
- **Support strategy**

4. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CADTH should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	PC0309 Welireg
Brand name (generic)	Belzutifan/Welireg
Indication(s)	For the treatment of adult patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease who require therapy for associated non-metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC), central nervous system (CNS) hemangioblastomas, or non-metastatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNET), not requiring immediate surgery
Organization	Merck
Contact information ^a	Name: Stephen Parrott

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.

Yes ⊠ No □

Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.

On behalf of the Canadian VHL Alliance, Canadian Organization for Rare Disorders, Kidney Cancer Canada, Pancreatic Cancer Canada, and the Canadian Neuroendocrine Tumour Society, we agree with this recommendation as it generally aligns with the inclusion/exclusion criteria of the LITESPARK-004 study of Belzutifan.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?

Yes	\boxtimes
Nο	\square

If not, what aspects are missing from the draft recommendation?

Economic Feedback

We applaud the pERC for taking the very positive step to evaluate belzutifan through the complex rare disease lens, including the significant unmet need for VHL patients. However, we are extremely concerned that this step forward could still result in significantly delaying or even denying access if the current economic cost utility (re)analysis stands. First, there is no justification for the "removal of belzutifan residual benefit after treatment discontinuation during the post-trial period; removal of caregiver disutility; and, removal of belzutifan trial RDI and generic costing assumptions." Second, the data used to calculate the ICER of \$360,193 per QALY are not provided so there is no way of verifying this result. Third, and most important there is absolutely no justification for adherence to an decades-old and arbitrary threshold of \$50,000 per QALY as the willingness-to-pay (WTP) for payers. All these results do is provide payers with the inappropriate ammunition to prolong negotiations, which jeopardizes patients' lives and well-being.

"The ICER for belzutifan is \$360,193 per QALY gained for the VHL-RCC cohort when compared with active surveillance. A price reduction of 83% would be required for belzutifan to achieve an ICER of \$50,000 per QALY gained compared to active surveillance."

Sharing Best Practices Guidelines

Regarding the "Stakeholder Perspectives, Clinician input, Input from clinical experts consulted by CADTH", on page 8, in the third paragraph, it is noted: "Thus, prescription may be limited to specialists (e.g., medical oncologist, neuro oncologists) working in these large centers."

To ensure fair, timely, and equitable access to belzutifan, we strongly urge CADTH to include in its recommendations that public drug plans, in addition to providing timely access, must put in place strategies to assure patients not adjacent to specialist centres, have access to this therapy. The recommendation should include a process for the development and dissemination of best practice guidelines for screening, genetic testing and diagnosis to identify patients that need this drug immediately and those who might need it in the future.

Clarity of the draft recommendation

3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?

Yes ⊠ No □

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

The VHL community appreciates the clarity in the recommendation, but as detailed in our previous and following comments, we do not agree with all of the reasons, nor the recommendations.

4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?

Yes	
No	\boxtimes

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

Again, the community supports pERC's efforts to find the difficult balance found for the rare disease therapy approval process and your conclusion regarding the significant value of this therapy.

Indeed, even as we were preparing our response to this recommendation, we have been contacted by patients that are suffering and desperately awaiting access to therapy. By way of illustration, we include here the story of _____, a VHL patient who lives in Edmonton. She currently has a 3 cm growth on her kidney that is getting larger. _____ has lost both eyes, undergone 5 brain surgeries and she has another growth in her brain that could demand another surgery. _____ notes that her tumours grow quickly. She clearly cannot wait another 6 to 12 months to have access to treatment.

On behalf of and and the other patients that are suffering today, we implore pERC to unequivocally state in its recommendation, the absolute urgency to make this new therapy available to Canadian patients as soon as possible. Please use this recommendation and your position as clinical leaders to recommend including a pathway for immediate access to those in critical need, even while the price is being negotiated. The recommendation should be clear: negotiations between the pan Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance (pCPA) and public drug plans should be initiated and concluded as quickly as possible.

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale		
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?		\boxtimes

If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

In section 2 and 4 above, we ask you to reconsider the arbitrary willingness-to-pay threshold of \$50,000 for reimbursement and please pass along the recommendation for urgency in the evaluation of this therapy.

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.

A. Patient Group Information										
Name	Stephen Parrott									
Position	Chair Canadian VHL Alliance									
Date	17-08-2023									
B. Assistand	ce with Providing Feedback									
1. Did you receive help from outside your patient group to complete your feedback?				No	\boxtimes					
				Yes						
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.										
2. Did you receive help from outside your patient group to collect or analyze any				No	\boxtimes					
information used in your feedback?					Yes					
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.										
C. Previous	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes	t								
	nflict of interest declarations p				No					
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.					Yes					
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration								
 List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review. 										
Check Appropriate Dollar Range										
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000					
Add compan	y name									
Add compan	y name									
Add or remov	ve rows as required									