

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

avacopan (Tavneos)

(Otsuka Canada Pharmaceutical Inc.)

Indication: For the adjunctive treatment of adult patients with severe active anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (granulomatosis with polyangiitis [GPA] and microscopic polyangiitis [MPA]) in combination with standard background therapy including glucocorticoids. TAVNEOS does not eliminate glucocorticoid use.

April 27, 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.



April 21, 2023

To: The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Subject: Feedback regarding the CADTH draft recommendation to not reimburse avacopan for the adjunctive treatment of adult patients with severe active anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody (ANCA)- associated vasculitis

This letter, on behalf of the Canadian Vasculitis research network (CanVasc) summarizes CanVasc's current position on the use of avacopan for the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV) and calls for reconsideration of the decision to not reimburse avacopan in Canada.

ANCA-associated vasculitis is a severe systemic disease commonly involving the kidneys and lungs with >80% mortality if untreated, and a significant rate of major organ damage, including end-stage renal disease despite treatment. The currently recommended induction treatment for severe disease is glucocorticoids combined with cyclophosphamide or rituximab. However, mortality remains elevated in AAV with the main cause of death being infections driven by both disease and treatment-related risks. Additionally, chronic kidney disease is common and associated with worse outcomes. Glucocorticoid-associated adverse events (e.g., diabetes, obesity, osteoporosis, and psychiatric complications) further contribute to increased cardiovascular risk and impact quality of life. Therefore, reducing glucocorticoid exposure is an important principle for the management of AAV and several strategies have been investigated, including the adjunctive use of avacopan. The latter is the only agent to date to have shown a substantial reduction in the use of glucocorticoids, without decreasing the rate of sustained remission. Data suggests greater sustained remission rates and better renal recovery when using avacopan.

Hence, CanVasc, like other international vasculitis groups, identified the importance of avacopan in the current therapeutic strategy for GPA and MPA, and recently published recommendations to provide guidance for the use of avacopan in AAV (Turgeon et al. Rheumatology 2023). CanVasc, established in 2010 by Drs. Carette, Pagnoux (University of Toronto) and Dr. Khalidi (McMaster University) is a not-for-profit organization consisting of representatives from clinical and research centers across Canada with an expertise in vasculitis.

CanVasc published the first North American recommendations for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis (McGeoch et al. J Rheumatol 2016) with an update in 2020 (Mandel et al. J Rheumatol 2020). In 2023, an addendum on avacopan was developed by >30 physicians (adult and pediatric rheumatologists, nephrologists and general internists) with expertise in AAV. This was the first published recommendations on avacopan in the world. Since then, the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) group also published a recommendation on avacopan for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis (Hellmich et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2023), while the drug is being incrementally approved in several countries in Europe for reimbursement (France, UK).

Based on the available evidence (3 randomized controlled trials and 2 observational studies), three recommendations for the use of avacaopan in AAV were made by the CanVasc group, with a high level of agreement among the working group (>90%).

The three recommendations are as follows:

1. The addition of oral avacopan (30 mg twice daily) can be considered for induction of remission in patients with newly diagnosed or relapsing GPA or MPA treated with cyclophosphamide or rituximab

We also emphasized that "certain populations may especially benefit from avacopan, such as those at high risk of glucocorticoid toxicity (pre-existing diabetes, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, glaucoma, cataracts, neuropsychiatric disorders, susceptibility to recurrent or severe, infections) or those with renal or refractory disease. Additional studies are needed to determine if certain patients have superior benefit from the use of avacopan."

2. After starting avacopan, a faster glucocorticoid tapering protocol aiming for discontinuation by the end of week 4 should be considered

In the ADVOCATE trial, the cumulative dose of glucocorticoids in the avacopan arm was substantially lower than in the control group, which received a currently standard 5-month regimen of glucocorticoid. Also, 12% of patients in the avacopan group did not receive any glucocorticoids after trial initiation. The reduction in glucocorticoid exposure resulted in less glucocorticoid-related adverse events, but this was not the primary endpoint of the study and was thereby possibly underpowered to detect a larger safety signal. Given that ADVOCATE required tapering off all pre-trial glucocorticoids within 4 weeks, CanVasc recommended this fast taper with close monitoring for relapses to maximize steroid-sparing effects. We acknowledge that a rapid glucocorticoid taper in conjunction with avacopan may not be appropriate for all patients and should be used with clinical judgement (noting that patients with very severe disease were excluded from the trial).

3. When initiated as part of induction therapy, avacopan can be continued for one year

The ADVOCATE trail continued avacopan therapy for 1 year with outcomes reported at 6 and 12 months. Treatment duration of avacopan was 3 months in the CLEAR and CLASSIC trials. Therefore, CanVasc recommended up to 12 months treatment with avacopan, as done in ADVOCATE and acknowledge the need for more studies and/or registry to determine the optimal duration of avacopan use, and its combination with other agents such as rituximab for maintenance of remission.

Avacopan as adjunctive therapy for adults with severe AAV (granulomatosis with polyangiitis and microscopic polyangiitis) in combination with standard therapies has been approved by Health Canada, the FDA in the USA and agencies in Europe. For this approved use, avacopan is now being prescribed globally.

The benefit of avacopan for renal function has also been found of interest, whereas the place of plasma exchange remains debated- its benefit is more limited than shown with avacopan in the ADVOCATE study, and carries a higher risk of infection, that avacopan does not.

Hence, CanVasc feels that based on the available evidence and current positions of numerous other international expert groups and reimbursement institutions, there is a role for avacopan in the treatment of patients with severe GPA or MPA. There is no alternative or equivalent agent available to date in Canada that would achieve such a substantial steroid-sparing effect and may additionally help maintain remission and improve renal outcomes. Patients are now aware of this agent, its expected benefit and current use abroad. CanVasc is concerned that the CADTH recommendation to not reimburse avacopan will disproportionately affect access to this drug in Canada for low income and marginalized populations. The limitation in the use of avacopan in Canada will also impact research on this agent, while registries have been initiated now in Europe and USA where access to drug has been approved.

Sincerely,



Lillian Barra, MD MPH
CanVasc President
Western University
St. Joseph's Health Care London
268 Grosvenor St. D2-160
London, Ontario N6A 4V2



Christian Pagnoux, MD, MSc, MPH CanVasc Vice-President and Founder Vasculitis clinic, Mount Sinai Hospital Toronto, Ontario



Nader Khalidi, MD, FRCPC
CanVasc Member and Co-Founder
Professor of Medicine, McMaster University
St. Joseph's Hospital
50 Charlton Ave East Fontbonne F336
Hamilton, ON L8N 4A6



Stephanie Garner, MD MSc CanVasc Treasurer University of Calgary South Health Campus 4448 Front St. SE Calgary, Alberta T3M 1M4

References:

- 1. Hellmich B et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis: 2022 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2023 Mar 16:ard-2022-223764. doi: 10.1136/ard-2022-223764. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 36927642.
- 2. Jayne DRW et al. Randomized Trial of C5a Receptor Inhibitor Avacopan in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017 Sep;28(9):2756-2767. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016111179. Epub 2017 Apr 11. PMID: 28400446; PMCID: PMC5576933.
- 3. Jayne DRW. Avacopan for the Treatment of ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 18;384(7):599-609. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023386. PMID: 33596356.
- 4. McGeoch L et al. Canadian Vasculitis Research Network. CanVasc Recommendations for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasm Antibody-associated Vasculitides. J Rheumatol. 2016 Jan;43(1):97-120. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.150376. Epub 2015 Nov 1. PMID: 26523024.
- 5. Merkel PA et al. Adjunctive Treatment With Avacopan, an Oral C5a Receptor Inhibitor, in Patients With Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2020 Nov;2(11):662-671. doi: 10.1002/acr2.11185. Epub 2020 Oct 31. PMID: 33128347; PMCID: PMC7672305.
- Turgeon D et al. CanVasc consensus recommendations for the use of avacopan in antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis: 2022 addendum. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2023 Feb 21:kead087. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kead087. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 36805625.



CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0732-000
Brand name (generic)	Avacopan
Indication(s)	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis
Organization	Physicians caring for vasculitis in Calgary
Contact information ^a	Name: Stephanie Garner
Otalia kalilan anna anna antan	Ob the destination of the

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

	1 Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation	Yes	_
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	No		

ANCA associated vasculitis is a complex multisystem disease that requires collaborative care delivered in a centre with vasculitis expertise (1). While advances in therapy have led to improved mortality, infections, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) are the leading cause of death in this population (2, 3). The cumulative dose of steroids has been shown to contribute not only to infection risk, but other adverse effects including diabetes, osteoporosis, impaired health related quality of life and accrued organ damage (4-8). In vasculitis, we know that for every 1000 mg of prednisone exposure, there is a 3% increase in adverse events (9). Even 5 mg of prednisone or less has been associated with an increase in all cause CVD risk (HR 1.74) in patients with rheumatic disease (10). Having steroid sparing tools in our armamentarium for a disease that has a five-year mortality of up to 22% is critical (11).

Avacopan is the first new therapeutic in AAV since rituximab was introduced in 2010 (12). There have been three randomized controlled clinical trials which have demonstrated that when used as adjuvant therapy it is safe, results in less steroid exposure and improves renal outcomes (13-15).

In response to a few of the specific discussion points raised by CADTH on page 4:

Rituximab was not used as maintenance therapy

The use of rituximab as maintenance therapy is not the standard of care across Canada and does not reflect the Canadian guidelines for the management of AAV (1). Rituximab is also not publicly funded for maintenance therapy in Alberta.

Change in eGFR from baseline was not clinically meaningful

In a subgroup analysis of patients in ADVOCATE trial who presented with an eGFR \leq 20/min, the patients in the avacopan group had a 16.1 ml/min per 1.73m² improvement versus the placebo group, which only had a 7.7 ml/min per 1.73m² improvement(16). As well, in this subgroup analysis, the risk of adverse events in the avacopan group was only 48%, while it was 70% in the prednisone group. In our opinion, this is an extremely meaningful difference, as those patients with severe renal involvement have a much higher risk of kidney failure and major adverse cardiac events.

Glucocorticoid Use

While the reviewers raised concerns about non-protocol steroid use, the placebo arm was exposed to a mean of over two grams more of prednisone than those in the avacopan arm. Given the known long-term risks of prednisone exposure described above we feel this is very clinically relevant.

We would also respectfully disagree that protocolized steroid tapering is not clinical practice. Since the PEXIVAS clinical trial was published in 2020, the used of the published reduced dose steroid tapering schedule has been widely accepted and used at vasculitis centres across Canada (17).

We feel strongly that CADTH should recommend the reimbursement of avacopan in Canada. To not do so would adversely affect access to a safe and effective therapy for many Albertans who do not have private drug coverage.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	No	\boxtimes
The patient foundation and the clinician who participated in the review both stated it should available (Pages 5 and 6)	be	
Clarity of the draft recommendation		
3 Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	\boxtimes
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately		
addressed in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
We did not submit for the initial application. This is our first submission.		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1			
Name	Aurore Fifi-Mah			
Position	Clinical associate professor			
Date	28-April-2023			
х	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Otsuka	Х			
Sanofi		х		
Organon	Х			
Johnson-Johnson	X			

New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 2		
Name	Stephanie Garner	
Position	Clinical Assistant Professor	
Date	28-4-2023	

\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any
	matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may
	place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Otsuka				
UCB		⊠		
AbbVle	\boxtimes			
Novartis				
Janssen	×			

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3			
Name	Dr. Kim Cheema			
Position	Clinical Assistant Professor			
Date	28-4-2023			
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Novartis				
Alexion				
GSK				
AB002 Drug and Safety Monitoring Board	\boxtimes			

References:

- 1. Mendel A, Ennis D, Go E, Bakowsky V, Baldwin C, Benseler SM, et al. CanVasc consensus recommendations for the management of antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis: 2020 update. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2021;48(4):555-66.
- 2. Little MA, Nightingale P, Verburgh CA, Hauser T, De Groot K, Savage C, et al. Early mortality in systemic vasculitis: relative contribution of adverse events and active vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(6):1036-43. Epub 2009/07/04. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.109389. PubMed PMID: 19574233.
- 3. Luqmani R, Suppiah R, Edwards CJ, Phillip R, Maskell J, Culliford D, et al. Mortality in Wegener's granulomatosis: a bimodal pattern. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2011;50(4):697-702. Epub 2010/11/30. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keg351. PubMed PMID: 21112869.
- 4. Charlier C, Henegar C, Launay O, Pagnoux C, Berezne A, Bienvenu B, et al. Risk factors for major infections in Wegener granulomatosis: analysis of 113 patients. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68(5):658-63. Epub 2008/05/28. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.088302. PubMed PMID: 18504289.
- 5. Goupil R, Brachemi S, Nadeau-Fredette AC, Déziel C, Troyanov Y, Lavergne V, et al. Lymphopenia and treatment-related infectious complications in ANCA-associated vasculitis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;8(3):416-23. Epub 20121206. doi: 10.2215/cjn.07300712. PubMed PMID: 23220426; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3586972.
- 6. McGregor JG, Hogan SL, Hu Y, Jennette CE, Falk RJ, Nachman PH. Glucocorticoids and relapse and infection rates in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;7(2):240-7. Epub 20111201. doi: 10.2215/cjn.05610611. PubMed PMID: 22134625; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3280023.
- 7. Robson JC, Dawson J, Cronholm PF, Ashdown S, Easley E, Kellom KS, et al. Patient perceptions of glucocorticoids in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. Rheumatol Int. 2018;38(4):675-82. Epub 20171109. doi: 10.1007/s00296-017-3855-6. PubMed PMID: 29124398; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5854718.
- 8. Robson J, Doll H, Suppiah R, Flossmann O, Harper L, Hoglund P, et al. Damage in the anca-associated vasculitides: long-term data from the European vasculitis study group (EUVAS) therapeutic trials. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74(1):177-84. Epub 2013/11/19. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203927. PubMed PMID: 24243925.
- 9. Broder MS, Sarsour K, Chang E, Collinson N, Tuckwell K, Napalkov P, et al. Corticosteroid-related adverse events in patients with giant cell arteritis: A claims-based analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2016;46(2):246-52. Epub 20160602. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2016.05.009. PubMed PMID: 27378247.
- 10. Pujades-Rodriguez M, Morgan AW, Cubbon RM, Wu J. Dose-dependent oral glucocorticoid cardiovascular risks in people with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: A population-based cohort study. PLoS Med. 2020;17(12):e1003432. Epub 20201203. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003432. PubMed PMID: 33270649; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7714202.
- 11. Flossmann O, Berden A, de Groot K, Hagen C, Harper L, Heijl C, et al. Long-term patient survival in ANCA-associated vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70(3):488-94. Epub 2010/11/27. doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.137778. PubMed PMID: 21109517.
- 12. Stone JH, Merkel PA, Spiera R, Seo P, Langford CA, Hoffman GS, et al. Rituximab versus cyclophosphamide for ANCA-associated vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(3):221-32. Epub 2010/07/22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0909905. PubMed PMID: 20647199; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3137658.
- 13. Jayne DRW, Bruchfeld AN, Harper L, Schaier M, Venning MC, Hamilton P, et al. Randomized Trial of C5a Receptor Inhibitor Avacopan in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;28(9):2756-67. Epub 2017/04/13. doi: 10.1681/asn.2016111179. PubMed PMID: 28400446; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5576933.

- 14. Merkel PA, Niles J, Jimenez R, Spiera RF, Rovin BH, Bomback A, et al. Adjunctive Treatment With Avacopan, an Oral C5a Receptor Inhibitor, in Patients With Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2020;2(11):662-71. Epub 20201031. doi: 10.1002/acr2.11185. PubMed PMID: 33128347; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7672305.
- 15. Jayne DRW, Merkel PA, Schall TJ, Bekker P. Avacopan for the Treatment of ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(7):599-609. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023386. PubMed PMID: 33596356.
- 16. Miloslavsky EM, Niles JL, Wallace ZS, Cortazar FB, Fernandes A, Laliberte K, et al. Reducing glucocorticoid duration in ANCA-associated vasculitis: A pilot trial. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2018;48(2):288-92. Epub 2018/03/14. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.01.013. PubMed PMID: 29530330.
- 17. Walsh M, Merkel PA, Peh CA, Szpirt WM, Puéchal X, Fujimoto S, et al. Plasma Exchange and Glucocorticoids in Severe ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(7):622-31. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1803537. PubMed PMID: 32053298; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7325726.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information						
CADTH project number	SR)732-000					
Brand name (generic)	Tavenos					
Indication(s)	ANCA associated Vasculitis					
Organization	Renal Pharmacists' Network					
Contact information ^a	Name:Jenny Ng					
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation					
4 Dans the state halden as		Yes				
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	No	\boxtimes			
Yes, many points are valid in	n the critical analysis of the ADVOCATE study.					
Expert committee conside	eration of the stakeholder input					
2. Does the recommendati	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes				
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?						
Recommendations do not take into consideration patients who are at high risk of steroid SE (such as						
	iabetes, mood disorders etc) /previously have not tolerated ster	oid				
therapy who may require alt						
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation	Yes				
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?						
	<u> </u>	No				
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.					
4. Have the implementation	n issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	\boxtimes			
addressed in the recommendation?						
If not, please provide details	regarding the information that requires clarification.					
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale						
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?						
If not, please provide details	If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.					

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

			Check Approp	riate Dollar Ran	ge
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Otsuka * m	anufacturer of Avacopan	\boxtimes			
sponsorship	ved other manufacturer o but they are not directly with Avacopan	⊠			
	dated Declaration for Clinician	2			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi		1414 10000		
Date	Please add the date form was on I hereby certify that I have the		•	information with r	espect to any
	matter involving this clinician or	•			•
	place this clinician or clinician g	roup in a real, _l	ootential, or perce	eived conflict of in	terest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
List any cor	mpanies or organizations that have	ve provided you	ır group with finar	ncial payment ove	r the past two
years AND	who may have direct or indirect in	nterest in the d	rug under review.		
				riate Dollar Rang	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	ny name				
Add compa	ny name				
Add or rem	ove rows as required				
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi				
Date	Please add the date form was d			:	
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or	•			•
	place this clinician or clinician g			_	-
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
List any cor	mpanies or organizations that have	ve provided you	ır group with finar	ncial payment ove	r the past two
	who may have direct or indirect in				
				riate Dollar Ranç	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	ny name				
Add compa	nv name				
	,		П		Ш

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0732-000
Brand name (generic)	Tavneos (Avacopan)
Indication(s)	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis
Organization	Scarborough Regional Health - Nephrologists
Contact informationa	Dr. Robert Ting, Nephrologist, Scarborough Regional Health

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation. Yes □ No ☑

Please explain why the stakeholder agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation. Whenever possible, please identify the specific text from the recommendation and rationale.

One of the conclusions by CADTH was that the differences in change from baseline between different treatment groups was small throughout the trial.

ANCA associated vasculitis often involves the kidneys with up to one third of patients developing renal failure, however the attack on the kidneys is not consistent in all cases of ANCA vasculitis. Even if one considers the overall population population with renal involvement, the benefit of Avacopan over Prednisone was 3.2 ml/min/year which is actually more than we see with use of Tolvaptan in ADPKD or with SGLT2 inhibitors in overt diabetic nephropathy. This is not an insignificant amount. If we focus on the most severe cases, where the eGFR was < 20, at week 52, the eGFR increased by 16.1 ml/min in the Avacopan group compared to only 7.7 ml/min in the Prednisone group. At the last followup at 52 weeks, 41% of the Avacopan patients and 13% of the Prednisone patients had a twofold increase in their baseline eGFR. Albuminuria also fell more quickly in the patients treated with Avacopan suggesting the renal disease resopnded much more quickly to this treatment than with Prednisone. These superior results suggest that Avacopan works better where it matters the most, in the most serious renal cases. Serious adverse events were also much lower in the Avacopan group at 48% compared to 70% in the Prednisone group. This was because glucocorticoid exposure was 63% lower in the Avacopan arm over the 52 weeks.

One other criticism CADTH had was that the rituximab was not used as maintenance therapy in the ADVOCATE Trial and patients induced with IV rituximab did not receive any maintenance therapy.

At the time of the study design in 2017, Rituximab was not given as maintenance therapy, only induction therapy. To this date, Rituximab has not been approved by Health Canada for maintenance therapy, even though it is recognized internationally as a standard of care for maintenance. Access to Rituximab as maintenance therapy remains problematic in Canada and not all jurisdictions provide coverage for Rituximab as maintenance. Even looking at the results at 26 weeks, the patients on Avacopan were already doing better than the ones on Prednisone. We are dealing with a disease where there is a high burden of disease and existing therapies are insufficient. We should be embracing new technologies and not excluding them because we would like to rewrite the protocols six years later.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input		
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the	Yes	
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?	No	
Clarity of the draft recommendation		
2. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	Yes	
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?	No	\boxtimes
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
Please refer to point 1 above.		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately	Yes	
addressed in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
If not, please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviewsfor further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations
 that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the
 clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	\boxtimes
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinision group to collect or analyze any	No	
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained	Yes	\boxtimes
unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.		
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	1			
Name	Dr Robert Ting, MD, FRCP(C),	FACP			
Position	SHN Nephrologist, DMC Markh	am Medical Director, SHN Glomerulonephritis Clinic Specialist			
Date	27-04-2023				
	matter involving this clinician or	authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may roup in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.			
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
Company		Check Appropriate Dollar Range			

	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
AstraZeneca				
Otsuka				
GSK				
Bayer				
Boehringer				
Janssen				
Novo Nordisk				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr Paul Tam, MD, FRCP (C), FACP
Position	SHN Nephrologist, SHN Nephrology Chief & Medical Director-Scarborough Regional Dialysis
	Program
Date	27-04-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	ge
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
AstraZeneca	⊠			
Otsuka	⊠			
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	3			
Name	Dr Janet Roscoe, MD, FRCP (C	C), FACP			
Position	Associate Professor Toronto				
Date	27-04-2023				
Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or	entity that may
	mpanies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.				
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10.000	\$10,001 to 50.000	In Excess of \$50.000

Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	nove rows as required				
New or Un	odated Declaration for Clinician	1			
Name	Dr Gordon Nagai, MD, FRCP(C				
Position	SHN Nephrologist	7			
Date	27-04-2023				
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i				r the past two
				riate Dollar Ran	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Otsuka					
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	nove rows as required				
New or Up Name Position Date	Dr Jason Fung, MD, FRCP(C) SHN Nephrologist 27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	authority to dis	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect i		rug under review.		
_				riate Dollar Rang	
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 6
Name	Dr Andy Zhang, MD, SHN Glomerulonephritis Clinic Specialist

Add or remove rows as required

Add company name

Position	SHN Nephrologist				
Date	27-04-2023				
	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group	with a company,	organization, or e	entity that may
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that ha who may have direct or indirect				r the past two
				riate Dollar Ranç	је
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add compa	any name				
Add compa	any name				
Add or rem	ove rows as required				
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	7			
Name	Dr Tabo Sikaneta, MD				
Position	SHN Nephrologist				
Position Date	SHN Nephrologist 27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or	-			•
Conflict of	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician generated the matter involving this clinician or clinician generated the matter involving that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinici	clinician group group in a real, p ve provided you	with a company, potential, or perce ar group with finar	organization, or e eived conflict of int ncial payment ove	entity that may terest situation.
Conflict of	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician g	clinician group group in a real, p ve provided you	with a company, potential, or perce or group with finar rug under review.	organization, or e eived conflict of inf ncial payment ove	entity that may terest situation.
Conflict of	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician generated the matter involving this clinician or clinician generated the matter involving that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinici	clinician group group in a real, p ve provided you	with a company, potential, or perce or group with finar rug under review.	organization, or e eived conflict of int ncial payment ove	entity that may terest situation.
Conflict of List any co years AND	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician generated the clinician of t	clinician group group in a real, p we provided you interest in the d	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential or group with finaring under review. Check Approp	organization, or evived conflict of information of	entity that may terest situation. er the past two
Conflict of List any co years AND	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician grant place the place that the place	ve provided you interest in the displayed to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential or group with finaring under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information of	entity that may terest situation. The past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician grant place the place that the place	ve provided you interest in the displayed to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential are group with finaring under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of interest of intere	entity that may terest situation. er the past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of Interest Declaration Impanies or organizations that hat who may have direct or indirect in the many name any name	ve provided you interest in the displayed by to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential properties. Check Approperties 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information in the interest of the interes	entity that may terest situation. er the past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa Add compa	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involved in the matter i	ve provided you interest in the displayed by to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential properties. Check Approperties 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information in the interest of the interes	entity that may terest situation. er the past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa Add compa	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician grant place this clinician or clinician or place this clinician or c	ve provided you interest in the displayed by to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential properties. Check Approperties 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information in the interest of the interes	entity that may terest situation. er the past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa Add compa Add or rem	27-04-2023 I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involved in the matter i	ve provided you interest in the displayed by to 5,000	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential properties. Check Approperties 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information in the interest of the interes	entity that may terest situation. er the past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa Add compa Add or rem	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician graph of the matter involved and the matter involved	ve provided you interest in the displayed by the state of	with a company, potential, or percential, or percential, or percential are group with finaring under review. Check Approp \$5,001 to 10,000	organization, or exived conflict of information in the conflic	entity that may therest situation. The past two ge In Excess of \$50,000
Conflict of List any co years AND Company Add compa Add compa Add or rem New or Up Name Position	I hereby certify that I have the matter involving this clinician or place this clinician or clinician grant place this clinician who may have direct or indirect in the place of the pl	ve provided you interest in the disconnection of th	with a company, potential, or percential, or percen	information, or exived conflict of interest payment over \$10,001 to \$50,000	entity that may therest situation. The past two ge In Excess of \$50,000

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two

years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 9
Name	Dr Simon Tsui, MD
Position	SHN Nephrologist
Date	27-04-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.
Conflict of	Interest Declaration
List any say	promise or experientions that have provided your group with financial payment ever the past two

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 10
Name	Dr Andrew Wong, MD
Position	SHN Nephrologist
Date	27-04-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 11
Name	Dr Ryan Pratt, MD
Position	SHN Nephrologist
Date	27-04-2023
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

		Check Approp	riate Dollar Rang	je
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 12		
Name	Dr Steve Wong, MD, SHN Glomerulonephritis Clinic Specialist		
Position	SHN Nephrologist		
Date	27-04-2023		
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.		

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

To the CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC),

We write to you to express our concern about the recent CADTH recommendation that avacopan not be reimbursed as adjunctive treatment for adult patients with severe antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) in combination with standard background therapy. As Manitoba clinicians well versed in the treatment of patients with AAV, we feel the decision reflects a misunderstanding of the background and protocol of the ADVOCATE trial¹, the prior evidence base in AAV, and does not accurately represent the results of the trial and the role avacopan plays in the treatment of AAV. This recommendation ultimately does a disservice to patients, and disadvantages Canadians living with this rare, lifethreatening disease, as compared to their American and European counterparts. We have elected to address relevant discussion points in the recommendation individually below.

The recommendation states that it is uncertain whether the eGFR difference between treatment groups is clinically meaningful. We would direct the committee to figure S3 and point out that for individuals with eGFR <30 mL/min, the mean difference in eGFR improvement was 5.5 mL/min. This difference is extremely clinically meaningful. A subanalysis of the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study demonstrated that each 5 mL/min decrease in eGFR was associated with a 54% relative-risk increase in kidney failure, and a 23% relative-risk increase in cardiovascular events². Using common tools seen in clinical practice, if the mean age and mean urine ACR from ADVOCATE, along with the achieved mean eGFR at the end of the trial are inserted into the Kidney Failure Risk Equation³, this translates to a 14.68% 5-year risk of kidney failure in the avacopan group, versus a 25.38% 5-year risk in the prednisone group. These results are accentuated when examining the patients with eGFR <20 mL/min, where the avacopan group experienced a 16.3 mL/min increase in eGFR as compared to a 9.2 mL/min increase in the prednisone group, a difference of 7.1 mL/min. This is an incredible achievement, producing a massive reduction in kidney failure risk for those patients whose risk is the highest. Given the cost of in-centre hemodialysis in Canada is approximately \$100,000 per patient per year⁴, use of avacopan in patients with the most severe kidney involvement and its resulting prevention of kidney failure would likely result in tremendous long-term savings for the Canadian health-care system. In addition to the impressive magnitude of this finding, it is also worth noting its novelty. No AAV drug trial has been able to demonstrate a difference in kidney function between treatment groups at the end of trial follow up, and therefore this result is remarkable, making avacopan a critical component of therapy for patients with severe kidney involvement of AAV. This is also the result likely to be most important to patients. The Standardized Outcomes In Nephrology – Glomerular Diseases (SONG-GD) initiative identified that the most important outcome to patients with glomerular diseases such as AAV is maintenance of kidney function⁵. Thus, given the incredible improvement in eGFR seen in the patients with the most severe kidney disease, it is critical that patients with severe kidney involvement have access to this medication and its benefits in reducing kidney failure risk.

The CDEC discuss the use of non-protocol glucocorticoids between groups, refer to them as "deviations from the protocol" and imply that this makes any differences difficult to interpret. Therefore, we feel it is important to clarify a few of these points. Firstly, at baseline, the mean

prednisone equivalents received by the avacopan group totaled 654 mg, which is easily explained. During the screening period, as per the protocol, patients could receive pulses of intravenous methylprednisolone (typically 500 to 1000 mg per pulse) as well as oral glucocorticoids, with caps on total doses received. This is standard in a vasculitis trial and mandating that patients could not receive any pre-trial glucocorticoid is frankly unrealistic, as patients with AAV are typically very sick at presentation and delaying therapy to facilitate randomization would make enrolment challenging. Secondly, the remainder of the nonprotocol glucocorticoids received during the treatment period are largely explained by two other sources specified in the protocol. For patients treated with rituximab, as a standard of care they receive methylprednisolone with each injection to prevent hypersensitivity reactions. Typically, this is a dose of 100 mg per injection, for a total of 400 mg during the trial, or 500 mg prednisone equivalent. This is reflected in table S5 of the trial Supplementary Appendix. Again, expecting a protocol to forbid the use of glucocorticoids at the time of rituximab administration is unrealistic and would dissuade investigators from enrolling patients. In addition, patients assigned to avacopan received tapering prednisone over four weeks, tapering from 20 mg by 5 mg per week, typically totaling 350 mg. The total of these three sources of glucocorticoids is approximately 1,504 mg when using the mean baseline prednisone received by the avacopan group. Therefore, almost all the non-protocol glucocorticoid used in the avacopan group was used in the first 4 weeks of the trial, and any further prednisone that was prescribed was likely used for symptoms of adrenal insufficiency, as was allowed in the protocol. The CDEC indicate that more non-protocol glucocorticoid was used in the avacopan group as compared to the prednisone group, and that "it is also unclear if these doses are high enough to effectively treat ANCA-AV or cause additional adverse effects." This increase in glucocorticoid received is easily attributable to the extra 350 mg received by the avacopan group during tapering of non-study prednisone. Given that AAV is typically treated with a minimum of 6-18 months of glucocorticoids, this is very unlikely to be a high enough dose or a long enough duration of therapy to effectively treat ANCA-AV over a 52 week follow up.

The CDEC also express concerns about the validity of the results of the trial based on the use of protocolized prednisone tapering in the prednisone group. We disagree with this assessment, since the comparators in the trial are avacopan and prednisone, and to be able to evaluate them properly, the groups need to be treated uniformly. Furthermore, this is not atypical for other trials in AAV examining glucocorticoid use such as PEXIVAS⁶, which used aggressive protocol-driven prednisone tapering to evaluate the efficacy of two different steroid regimens. If clinicians were allowed to adjust prednisone dosing however they wished based on clinical activity, then comparison of the two groups would be impossible, as remission could simply be present because patients remained on high-dose prednisone, which is otherwise undesirable.

The CDEC express concern that the differences in adverse events between groups were not as large as expected. This is also surprising, as it is important to recognize that patients still received methylprednisolone pulses, and most importantly powerful immunosuppressants such as rituximab and cyclophosphamide, which carry significant risks of infection on their own⁷ and have been documented to profoundly reduce response to vaccination⁸. Most of the difference seen with respect to adverse events is in improvements in GTI, understanding that the

minimum clinically important difference (MCID) for the GTI is 10⁹. The MCID was reached by avacopan-treated patients in both the Cumulative Worsening Score as well as Aggregate Improvement Score in the trial, demonstrating a clinically relevant reduction in glucocorticoid toxicity, which is important to patients⁵. While we understand that this tool has not been specifically validated for this rare disease, we would also ask the committee to recognize the difficulty in quantifying steroid-associated side-effects in a trial setting, and the lack of any validated tool to do so. The GTI, which was developed by internationally recognized experts in AAV, represents the best attempt yet to quantify the complex toxicities associated with glucocorticoids. It has been employed in over 45 studies including 12 phase 3 clinical trials, and has been validated in asthma⁹. The attempt by the investigators to use the GTI in this setting will pave the way for further trials in AAV and other autoimmune diseases to do the same. The trial also reported a 7.5% absolute risk reduction in treatment-emergent infections, which we feel would not be insignificant to patients.

The CDEC express concern that the instruments used in ADVOCATE, such as BVAS and VDI, are not used in clinical practice. We agree that these are not routinely used clinically but would point the attention of the CDEC to all other AAV induction and maintenance trials, where complete remission (defined by a BVAS of 0) is typically the primary outcome, with VDI frequently being a secondary outcome^{10–14}. Therefore, this is not a valid criticism. In addition, they note the small differences in secondary outcomes with wide confidence intervals. It is critical to recognize that the trial was not specifically powered to detect differences in these outcomes, and that to expect sufficient recruitment to detect differences in these outcomes with narrow confidence intervals is unrealistic for a disease with an annual incidence of approximately 15 per million population.

The recommendation states that the clinical expert indicated avacopan would be used for two years. We do not agree, and would highlight the recently published CanVasc and EULAR guidelines on the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis, which recommend the use of avacopan for only one year^{15,16}. Avacopan currently is indicated as a part of induction immunosuppression and at this time there is no evidence to inform use past one year. Therefore, assuming two years of therapy in the economic analysis is invalid.

While the above points are important, we feel the most crucial element of the CADTH recommendation is the input from Vasculitis Foundation Canada, who received feedback from 46 patients with AAV. They reported an urgent need for treatment that improves symptoms and quality of life that would also result in a reduction or elimination of the use of prednisone. We treat these individuals every day, see the impact months of high-dose prednisone can have and agree with this sentiment. We feel that placing the priorities of patients at the forefront of this decision is paramount.

The newly published EULAR and CanVasc guidelines both recommend that avacopan can be used as a component of therapy for remission induction in AAV. As clinicians, we must be able to provide guideline directed therapy to our patients, many of whom are prolific consumers of information about their disease, and who will expect access to therapies recommended by the

guidelines. This recommendation will result in a tiering of care for AAV, allowing the prescription of avacopan for those with the means to have private health coverage or pay out-of-pocket, while excluding those who are already marginalized in our society. We believe CADTH has a responsibility to try to limit these inequities and ensure access for the patients who need it. We implore you to reconsider this decision and re-examine the evidence with the points we have provided.

Sincerely,

Drs. Bryce Barr and David Robinson Vasculitis Clinic University of Manitoba

Bryce Barr has served on an advisory board for Otsuka Canada Pharmaceuticals and has received less than \$3,000 in honoraria. We otherwise report no other relevant COI.

References

- 1. Jayne DRW, Merkel PA, Schall TJ, Bekker P. Avacopan for the Treatment of ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(7):599-609. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2023386
- 2. Ku E, Xie D, Shlipak M, et al. Change in Measured GFR Versus eGFR and CKD Outcomes. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;27(7):2196-2204. doi:10.1681/ASN.2015040341
- 3. Tangri N, Stevens LA, Griffith J, et al. A predictive model for progression of chronic kidney disease to kidney failure. *JAMA*. 2011;305(15):1553-1559. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.451
- 4. Ferguson TW, Whitlock RH, Bamforth RJ, et al. Cost-Utility of Dialysis in Canada: Hemodialysis, Peritoneal Dialysis, and Nondialysis Treatment of Kidney Failure. *Kidney Med*. 2021;3(1):20-30.e1. doi:10.1016/j.xkme.2020.07.011
- 5. Carter SA, Gutman T, Logeman C, et al. Identifying Outcomes Important to Patients with Glomerular Disease and Their Caregivers. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;15(5):673-684. doi:10.2215/CJN.13101019
- 6. Walsh M, Merkel PA, Peh C-A, et al. Plasma Exchange and Glucocorticoids in Severe ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(7):622-631. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1803537
- 7. Specks U, Merkel PA, Seo P, et al. Efficacy of Remission-Induction Regimens for ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(5):417-427. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1213277
- 8. Stevens KI, Frangou E, Shin JIL, et al. Perspective on COVID-19 vaccination in patients with immune-mediated kidney diseases: consensus statements from the ERA-IWG and EUVAS. *Nephrol Dial Transplant Off Publ Eur Dial Transpl Assoc Eur Ren Assoc*. 2022;37(8):1400-1410. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfac052
- 9. Stone JH, McDowell PJ, Jayne DRW, et al. The glucocorticoid toxicity index: Measuring change in glucocorticoid toxicity over time. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2022;55:152010. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2022.152010
- 10. Geetha D, Specks U, Stone JH, et al. Rituximab versus cyclophosphamide for ANCA-associated vasculitis with renal involvement. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;26(4):976-985. doi:10.1681/ASN.2014010046
- 11. de Groot K, Harper L, Jayne DRW, Felipe L, Suarez F, Gregorini G. Pulse Versus Daily Oral Cyclophosphamide for Induction of Remission in Antineutrophil Antibody. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150(10):670-80.
- 12. Jones RB. Rituximab versus Cyclophosphamide in ANCA-Associated Renal Vasculitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2010.
- 13. Terrier B, Pagnoux C, Perrodeau É, et al. Long-term efficacy of remission-maintenance regimens for ANCA-associated vasculitides. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2018;77(8):1151-1157. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212768
- 14. Sanders JSF, De Joode AAE, Desevaux RG, et al. Extended versus standard azathioprine maintenance therapy in newly diagnosed proteinase-3 anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis patients who remain cytoplasmic anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-positive after induction of remission. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2016;31(9):1453-1459. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfw211
- 15. Turgeon D, Bakowsky V, Baldwin C, et al. CanVasc consensus recommendations for the

- use of avacopan in antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis: 2022 addendum. *Rheumatology*. February 2023:kead087. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kead087
- 16. Hellmich B, Sanchez-Alamo B, Schirmer JH, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis: 2022 update. *Ann Rheum Dis*. March 2023. doi:10.1136/ard-2022-223764

435 The Boardwalk Ste 208, Waterloo ON N2T 0C2 www.WaterlooRheumatology.com

Dr. Sandeep Dhillon, MD FRCPC
Dr. Gabriel Jeyasingham, MD FRCPC

April 26/2023

To: The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

Dr. Sabrina Lue, MD FRCPC

Re: CADTH draft recommendation to not reimburse avacopan for the adjunctive treatment of adult patients with severe active anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody (ANCA)- associated vasculitis

This letter, on behalf of Waterloo Rheumatology, summarizes our position on the use of avacopan for the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV) and calls for reconsideration of the decision to not reimburse avacopan in Canada. We are a centre of care for rheumatology in Waterloo, Ontario.

Reducing glucocorticoid exposure is an important principle for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis (AAV). The currently recommended induction treatment for severe disease is glucocorticoids combined with cyclophosphamide or rituximab. This treatment, however, is still associated with high mortality (mainly driven by infection and treat-related risks), and high morbidity due to steroid related events (diabetes, obesity, osteoporosis, psychiatric, infectious, cardiovascular and associated renovascular disease).

Thus, reducing glucocorticoid exposure is a crucial principle in AAV management. Avocopan is the only agent to date to have shown a substantial reduction in the use of glucocorticoids, without decreasing the rate of sustained remission. Data suggests greater sustained remission rates and better renal recovery when using avacopan

CanVasc and other international groups have identified the importance of avacopan in the current therapeutic strategy for GPA and MPA (Turgeon et al. Rheumatology 2023). CanVasc is a not-for-profit organization consisting of representatives from clinical and research centers across Canada with an expertise in vasculitis. Since then, the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR) group also published a recommendation on avacopan for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis (Hellmich et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2023), while the drug is being incrementally approved in several countries in Europe for reimbursement (France, UK)

Avacopan as adjunctive therapy for adults with severe AAV (granulomatosis with polyangiitis and microscopic polyangiitis) in combination with standard therapies has been approved by Health Canada, the FDA in the USA and agencies in Europe. For this approved use, avacopan is now being prescribed globally

Based on the available evidence (3 randomized controlled trials and 2 observational studies), we recommend:

- 1. The addition of oral avacopan (30 mg twice daily) can be considered for induction of remission in patients with newly diagnosed or relapsing GPA or MPA treated with cyclophosphamide or rituximab
- 2. After starting avacopan, a faster glucocorticoid tapering protocol aiming for discontinuation by the end of week 4 should be considered
- 3. When initiated as part of induction therapy, avacopan can be continued for one year

There is a role for avacopan in the treatment of patients with severe GPA or MPA. There is no alternative or equivalent agent available to date in Canada that would achieve such a substantial steroid-sparing effect and may additionally help maintain remission and improve renal outcomes. Patients are now aware of this agent, its expected benefit and current use abroad. We are concerned that the CADTH recommendation to not reimburse avacopan will disproportionately affect access to this drug in Canada for low income and marginalized populations. The limitation in the use of avacopan in Canada will also impact research on this agent, while registries have been initiated now in Europe and USA where access to drug has been approved.

Sincerely,



Dr. Yan Yeung, MD FRCPC Rheumatologist, Waterloo Rheumatology Assistant Clinical Professor (Adjunct), McMaster University



Dr. Sabrina Lue, MD FRCPC Rheumatologist, Waterloo Rheumatology Assistant Clinical Professor (Adjunct), McMaster University



Dr. Sandeep Dhillon, MD MSc FRCPC Rheumatologist, Waterloo Rheumatology Assistant Clinical Professor (Adjunct), McMaster University



Dr. Gabriel Jeyasingham, MD FRCPC Rheumatologist, Waterloo Rheumatology

References:

- 1. Hellmich B et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of ANCA-associated vasculitis: 2022 update. Ann Rheum Dis. 2023 Mar 16:ard-2022-223764. doi: 10.1136/ard@2022-223764. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 36927642.
- 2. Jayne DRW et al. Randomized Trial of C5a Receptor Inhibitor Avacopan in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017 Sep;28(9):2756-2767. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016111179. Epub 2017 Apr 11. PMID: 28400446; PMCID: PMC5576933.
- 3. Jayne DRW. Avacopan for the Treatment of ANCA-Associated Vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 18;384(7):599-609. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023386. PMID: 33596356.
- 4. McGeoch L et al. Canadian Vasculitis Research Network. CanVasc Recommendations for the Management of Antineutrophil Cytoplasm Antibody-associated Vasculitides. J Rheumatol. 2016 Jan;43(1):97-120. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.150376. Epub 2015 Nov 1. PMID: 26523024.
- 5. Merkel PA et al. Adjunctive Treatment With Avacopan, an Oral C5a Receptor Inhibitor, in Patients With Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2020 Nov;2(11):662-671. doi: 10.1002/acr2.11185. Epub 2020 Oct 31. PMID: 33128347; PMCID: PMC7672305.
- 6. Turgeon D et al. CanVasc consensus recommendations for the use of avacopan in antineutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated vasculitis: 2022 addendum. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2023 Feb 21:kead087. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kead087. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 36805625.

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0732
Name of the drug and	Avacopan (Tavneos) for anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody
Indication(s)	(ANCA)-associated vasculitis.
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

 Recommendate Please indicate if the recommendation. 	ion revisions ne stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clari	fy its
Request for Reconsideration	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested	
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested	
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested	
	No requested revisions	Х

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements a) Recommendation rationale Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification. c) Implementation guidance Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional

implementation questions can be raised here.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0732-000
Brand name (generic)	Avacopan (Tavneos)
Indication(s)	GPA and MPA ANCA Associated Vasculitis
Organization	Vasculitis Foundation Canada
Contact information ^a	Jon Stewart

Stakeholder agreement with the draft recommendation

	1 Doce the etakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation	Yes	
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.	No	\boxtimes	

From the rationale and recommendation paragraph.

Unmet Therapeutic Need

The ADVOCATE trial explains "C5a production, is a component of the pathogenesis of ANCA-associated vasculitis" and that Avacopan is a "C5a receptor antagonist that selectively blocks the effects of C5a... including blocking neutrophil chemo-attraction and activation." No other medication works on this pathway of the pathogenesis of AAV, so this must be an unmet need! How can you justify that this is NOT? The Real Unmet Need

Canadian vasculitis patients know what they do not like about prednisone. They also know, as do most Canadians with rare diseases, the **real unmet need for Canadian patients is that Canada has a chronic problem of timely approvals of new therapies compared to the FDA or EU**. And, **equally important is access and coverage for newer medications that cost more**. Many rare disease therapies are inherently more expensive due to the small size of the patient population that will consume them. The costs to develop and bring to market a new medication is similar regardless of whether thousands, or tens of thousands of people take it, compared to if only hundreds of patients take a given medication. **The economies of scale do not favour medications for rare diseases, this is another unmet need!**

Rituximab for Maintenance Therapy

Although rituximab (RTX), may be the current standard of care, it is not the current practice of care for all Canadian patients! In Canada, "postal code healthcare" is a well-known fact-of-life, and rituximab, or more frequently now biosimilars, are not even approved for maintenance, or for induction based on age, gender etc., in many provinces and territories. Nor are they universally publicly funded for those in need. In reality, CYC and azathioprine (AZA), as prescribed in the ADVOCATE study, does align with the Canadian standard of care for many AAV patients.

Infection and Rituximab and Glucocorticoids (GC/Prednisone)

Even if all AAV patients could access RTX, reliance mainly on RTX therapy, has other complications. RTX significantly impairs vaccine uptake which has obvious negative impacts on AAV patients during a pandemic, or anytime they require any vaccine. This is another unmet therapeutic need. Excess treatment with both RTX, and prednisone at doses of +/- 20mg's/day, impairs vaccine uptake. High rates of infection in the AAV community is an unmet need, and avacopan can help mitigate this.

Non-protocol Specified Glucocorticoids (GC's/prednisone)

Using non-protocol prednisone was the point of the study in one arm to test the efficacy of Avacopan. How else could this arm be done? The second arm essentially received a similar "reduced-dose" prednisone taper as was used, with success, in the PEXIVAS trial. The study goal was to reduce prednisone exposure, without losing disease control, which aligns with the unmet needs of patients.

Equity in Healthcare

We note that in 2018 CADTH approved Tocilizumab (TCZ-Actemera), for GCA, another form of life-threatening vasculitis for a 52 week treatment course, the same time period in the ADVOCATE trial for

Avacopan. It was noted then "the costs attributed to the complications of prednisone therapy are highly uncertain" and "that an important goal of therapy with tocilizumab is related to the benefit of preventing morbidities associated with chronic-moderate to high dose glucocorticoids." this key goal aligns with the ADVOCATE trial. There are more similarities including a rapid prednisone taper, and the use of "escape prednisone". We have shown in our original submission the complications of prednisone therapy are well known for patients with AAV yet Avacopan has not been approved - even with conditions! This non-approval is ill advised and not equal treatment by CADTH for vasculitis patients with AAV. Reducing prednisone exposure remains an unmet need across all vasculitis conditions, and real progress towards addressing this unmet need must be taken for all forms of vasculitis - when available.

Expert committee consideration of the stakeholder input

2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the				
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?		X		

Lack of Canadian Access Cascades into Lack of Healthcare

When Canadian patients are denied access to modern mediations like Avacopan, or face unreasonable barriers to pay to access Avacopan, specialist physicians are also deprived of new therapy options to treat lethal diseases. And, oral Avacopan is easier for patients to manage compared to the more complicated, more costly to administer, and much more time consuming therapy of RTX infusions over multiple hours in hospital or specialized clinics.

Additional Real-World Evidence and Patient Input

Vasculitis is a rare disease. Vasculitis Foundation Canada engages with a large vasculitis community in Canada. Our emails are sent regularly to a list of ~400 members and friends, we have 1500 followers on our Facebook page and another 580+ in our private Facebook Group page. There are small numbers of Canadian Avacopan users so we felt it essential to ask for, and include, additional real world evidence and feedback from Canadian patients on Avacopan who wrote to us. See Appendix #1 and #2.

We believe Avacopan is the next breakthrough in AAV therapy, and we want Canadians with AAV, who do not have full coverage for Avacopan, to receive public coverage of this therapy as experienced by Patient #1 and #2 below.

VFC recommends that Avacopan be reimbursed for the treatment of AAV if the following conditions are met, in the words of your own consultant, who suggested "that alongside the implementation of avacopan in Canada it would be useful to set up a registry to track drug use, patterns of use, and to monitor safety and efficacy." This approach contributes and builds valuable real world evidence on Avacopan while addressing numerous unmet needs for Canadian AAV patients without a significant budget impact.

Appendix #1 & #2 from Patients #1 & #2 These letters are self-explanatory, but we have highlighted some key points that reinforce points we have made. These are convincing success stories of real world Avacopan evidence. Patient #1 reports "Avacopan has changed his life" while on standard background therapy of Rituximab (RUXIENCE), and a rapid prednisone taper. Patient #2 reports "I think the Avacopan has been amazing. Her quality of life wouldn't be near what it is if she was still on prednisone. The prednisone was saving her from the Vasculitis, but killing her in other ways."

Appendix #1 CADTH Feedback (Patient #1 with MPA Vasculitis, April 2023, edited to save space)
I was asked to write a letter with my first hand experience with TAVENOS- Avacopan. I am a very active 36 year old Male with no pre existing health conditions and no significant family history of auto-immune diseases. I am a am active with daily lifting weights, cardio, and sports. I am also on a tactical team with a law enforcement agency; which is very physically demanding.

Sometime in August 2022 I developed a persistent fever of unknown origin. In late September I developed severe leg cramps. Some days it was impossible to walk around at a normal pace. I originally thought my symptoms were a combination of a viral/bacterial illness and delayed onset muscle soreness from lifting weights. After three weeks of symptoms I attended physician. I was sent to the hospital for bloodwork and sometime that evening was told to report to Emergency as it was suspected that I had possible blood clots. I was in the hospital for approximately 7 days, I was prescribed Prednisone and sent home. The prednisone helped alleviate symptoms; however, it came with many side effects.

but my quality of life was not the same. I was placed on modified duties at work due to my side effects as I was unable to complete my daily tasks without issues. Rheumatology clinic, it was explained they had a high suspicion of ANCA Associated Vasculitis(MPA). The disease appeared to be attacking my small blood vessels, kidneys, and arthritis in my joints. I had blood and frothing in my urine and the arthritis was still affecting my joints. I was prescribed a heavy dose of Prednisone, antibiotics, and was told that I would be receiving RUXIENCE-Rituximab in November. Over the next few months I continued to display mild symptoms, weight gain, and my quality of life was still not back to normal. My urine was still frothy with trace amounts of blood. I was not sleeping well, had joint pain, headaches, and I felt weak and fatigued most of the time. I was dosed with RUXIENCE in November and early December. My side effects continued to persist. I was told at this point that I would be receiving the drug TAVNEOS-Avacopan. I Started taking Avacopan late December and was very aggressively tapered off of Prednisone. After a few weeks on Avacopan I felt like my quality of life was back to where I was pre-disease. I was shocked with how fast this drug worked for me. Before Avacopan I wasn't able to lift weights without pain and had no energy to run or ride my bike. A week following taking my first Avacopan dose I noticed my energy levels return to normal. I was getting quality sleep and I was back to being able to lift very heavy weight in the gym and was back to my normal 5k runs twice a week. My joint pain had completely disappeared and my kidneys have been normal functioning again. My urine looks normal. I am able to do my job at work again with the tactical team. I am able to play with my kids without any pain or having to cut activities short. The only symptom that continued after Avacopan was the purple patches on my skin, which used to be very vivid and unsightly. Now they are barely distinguishable and I noticed that they only appear after rapid temperature changes. I have also noticed since being on Avacopan my weight has been slowly returning to my healthy weight prior to receiving my first doses of Prednisone. I was placed on Avacopan for one year as a trial. I was offered other drugs; however because I am still thinking of having more children this was the safest drug with the least amount of reproductive harm. My last appointment was on 2023-04-20. I was informed that Avacopan will not be covered after the trial. This drug is expensive and no middle class person can afford the drug without a substantial drug plan. I feel that this drug should be on the list of preferred drugs to be used for ANCA Associated Vasculitis. The results are truly amazing from my perspective. I am fairly new to the ANCA Vasculitis community and there is no one I know that is completely asymptomatic like myself and the only noticeable difference between myself and them is Avacopan. In conclusion Avacopan has changed my life. After my diagnosis I was scared, depressed, and thought the rest of my existence was going to be limiting. Six months later I feel like there is nothing I cannot accomplish. I have been able to enjoy all activites/work prior to my diagnosis and initial treatment. I have a great outlook towards life and a hopeful future. Appendix #2 (Mother of Patient #2, 14 year old girl with GPA Vasculitis, April 2023, edited to save space) Avacopan is essential for people with Vasculitis. Yet it is so expensive, no one could afford to take this life saving drug without coverage/funding. The idea that they want to make it un-accessible for people is unfathomable. She has upper airway (trachea) and lung involvement and tolerated Avacopan extremely well. Some stomach upset the first few days, but then it settled. No other side effects. She was given dozens of high dose pulse prednisone IV's over many months. And also took oral prednisone for approx 9 months. The steroids caused massive damage to her skin; she has stretch marks from her mid back to her ankles. She had hair loss. Severe moon face. She developed GERD. She has done very well on Avacopan. All of her disease markers have remained negative since she tapered off prednisone and is only on Avacopan. She finished her last dose of prednisone on Feb 3. I think the Avacopan has been amazing. Her quality of life wouldn't be near what it is if she was still on prednisone. The prednisone was saving her from the Vasculitis, but killing her in other ways. Clarity of the draft recommendation Yes 3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated? No \boxtimes

The ADVOCATE trial explains "C5a production, is a component of the pathogenesis of ANCA-associated vasculitis" and that Avacopan is a "C5a receptor antagonist that selectively blocks the effects of C5a... including blocking neutrophil chemo-attraction and activation." No other medication works on this pathway of the pathogenesis of AAV, so this must be an unmet need! How can you justify that this is NOT?

4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately		
addressed in the recommendation?		\boxtimes

Lack of patient registries in Canada

Since national patient registries are another unmet need in Canada, determining the accurate number of annual AAV patients, and the total number of AAV patients in Canada is unknown. In the absence of accurate data, we agree with the CADTH clinical expert who suggested "that alongside the implementation of Avacopan in Canada it would be useful to set up a registry to track drug use, patterns of use, and to monitor safety and efficacy." This can address many unmet needs, and other unknowns including costs and benefits."

5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale		
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	\boxtimes

Budget Impact

There is evidence to suggest that patients with rare diseases receive only 2.4% of total public pharmaceutical expenditures yet represent more than 2.4% of the population. Minister Duclos recently announced 1.5 billion for rare diseases. We think the total budget impact is relatively small over 3 years and more needs to be done to approve and publicly pay for new therapies like Avacopan for the treatment of AAV. Putting a value on the cost of life is not easy, but AAV patients deserve a better chance to regain their health, and return them to more productive lives. Avacopan appears to do that. The reality is that very few Canadians could afford to pay out-of-pocket for Avacopan which is another unmet need for Canadians for those without full private insurance coverage. Those excluded from gaining the benefits of Avacopan will experience the full impact of the inequity of Canadian healthcare which already has its share of inequities. And, it is worth noting the cost of Avacopan in Canada is estimated to be half the price of Avacopan in the U.S. This being the case, it is difficult to justify the lack of public coverage for Canadians given such a price advantage. Canada is supposed to be a "first-world", G7 country, yet recent changes to the PMPRB eliminated Switzerland and the U.S. from our basket of comparator countries on drug pricing. Eliminating these countries was estimated to generate overall drug savings of +/- 20% in Canada. With these savings, how is it possible Canada cannot publicly fund Avacopan while it funds equal or more expensive therapies?

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the *Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews* for further details.

A. Patient Group Information							
Name	Jon Stewart						
Position	President						
Date	(27-04-2023)						
I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.							
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback						
4 Did you	receive help from outside you	w notiont avoi	n to complete v	our foodbook?	No		
1. Dia you	1. Did you receive help from outside your patient group to complete your feedback?				Yes		
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.							
	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No	\boxtimes	
informa	tion used in your feedback?				Yes		
	If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.						
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes						
	onflict of interest declarations				No	\boxtimes	
submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section D below.				d Yes			
D. New or U	pdated Conflict of Interest Dec	laration					
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.							
Check Appropriate Dollar Range							
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Exces \$50,000	n Excess of 50,000	
Otsuka Canada Pharmaceutical Inc.							
Add company name							
Add or remo	ve rows as required				[