

CADTH REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

pegcetaoplan (Empaveli)

(Sobi Canada Inc.)

Indication: Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria

March 3, 2023

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CADTH and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CADTH. No endorsement by CADTH is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CADTH, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CADTH does not edit the content of the submissions.

CADTH does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	SR0748-000			
Brand name (generic)	Empaveli (pegcetacoplan)			
Indication(s)	For the treatment of adult patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) who have an inadequate response to, or are intolerant of, a C5 inhibitor.			
Organization	Canadian PNH Network			
Contact information ^a	Christopher Patriquin MD MSc FRCPC, Chair of the Canadian PNH Network, and responding on behalf with member approval.			
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation			
1. Does the stakeholder ag	ree with the committee's recommendation.	Yes No		
deciding for a different immu	ide is a reasonable period of time to assess for optimal effect b une target. eration of the stakeholder input			
	on demonstrate that the committee has considered the our organization provided to CADTH?	Yes No		
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation			
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		Yes No		
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in the recommendation?		Yes No		
	nbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale ded in the recommendation?	Yes No		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CADTH drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CADTH may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the <u>Procedures for CADTH Drug Reimbursement Reviews</u> for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback 1. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission? No \boxtimes Yes 2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any No \boxtimes information used in this submission? Yes **B.** Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest 3. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was No submitted at the outset of the CADTH review and have those declarations remained Yes \boxtimes unchanged? If no, please complete section C below. No change to the conflicts previously listed.

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	1			
Name	Please state full name				
Position	Please state currently held posi	tion			
Date	Please add the date form was completed (DD-MM-YYYY)				
Conflict of	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of	Interest Declaration				
	mpanies or organizations that have who may have direct or indirect in				er the past two
		Check Appropriate Dollar Range			
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name					
Add company name		П			П

CADTH Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CADTH project number	SR0748
Name of the drug and	Pegcetacoplan (Empaveli) for paroxysmal nocturnal
Indication(s)	hemoglobinuria (PNH)
Organization Providing	FWG
Feedback	

1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation.			
Request for Reconsideration	Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested		
	Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested		
No Request for Reconsideration	Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested		
	No requested revisions	Xロ	

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions

Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.



CADTH Reimbursement Review Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information				
CADTH project number	SR0478			
Brand name (generic)	EMPAVELI (pegcetacoplan)			
Indication(s)	For the treatment of adult patients with paroxysmal nocturnal			
	hemoglobinuria (PNH) who have an inadequate response to, or are			
	intolerant of, a C5 inhibitor			
Organization	Sobi Canada Inc.			
Contact information ^a				
Stakeholder agreement wi	th the draft recommendation	X	5-2	
1. Does the stakeholder agree with the committee's recommendation.		Yes		
Sobi Canada Inc. agrees with the committee's recommendation supporting the reimburseme		No		
-) for the treatment of adult patients with paroxysmal nocturnal			
hemoglobinuria (PNH) who have an inadequate response to, or are intolerant of, a C5 inhibitor.				
Sobi Canada Inc. appreciate	es that CADTH recognizes the clinical benefit that EMPAVELI p	orovide	s to	
PNH patients, as well as the ability of EMPAVELI to meet the unmet needs that matter most to				
Canadian PNH patients incl	uding improving anemia and reducing transfusion requirements	5.		
Expert committee conside	ration of the stakeholder input			
2. Does the recommendation demonstrate that the committee has considered the		Yes	\boxtimes	
stakeholder input that your organization provided to CADTH?		No		
N/A				
Clarity of the draft recomm	nendation			
3. Are the reasons for the recommendation clearly stated?		Yes	\boxtimes	
-				
N/A			_	
4. Have the implementation issues been clearly articulated and adequately		Yes	\boxtimes	
addressed in the recom	mendation?	No		

N/A		
5. If applicable, are the reimbursement conditions clearly stated and the rationale	Yes	\boxtimes
for the conditions provided in the recommendation?	No	
N/A		

^a CADTH may contact this person if comments require clarification.