1 2 3 4 **CADTH Reimbursement Recommendation Optimal** Pharmacotherapy for Transplant-Ineligible **Multiple Myeloma** 10 Therapeutic Review 11

13

## **CADTH FMEC Recommendations**

The CADTH Formulary Management Expert Committee (FMEC) concluded that evidence included in the CADTH systematic review and network meta-analysis (NMA) supports the use of first-line daratumumab in patients with multiple myeloma who are ineligible for transplant. FMEC noted that, in newly diagnosed patients, daratumumab-containing regimens such as daratumumab/bortezomib/melphalan/prednisone and daratumumab/lenalidomide/dexamethasone showed statistically significant difference in progression-free survival when compared to the base comparator with lenalidomide/dexamethasone. In relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma, daratumumab/lenalidomide/dexamethasone also showed statistically significant difference in progression-free survival compared to lenalidomide/dexamethasone. However, based on results from the economic analysis using publicly available prices, a reduction in the price of daratumumab is required for this treatment to be considered cost-effective at conventional willingness to pay thresholds, in the first-line setting relative to

**I** 

FMEC recommends the choice between the use of carfilzomib/dexamethasone or pomalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone in the second- or third-line setting be left at the physician's discretion for patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma who received a daratumumab-containing regimen in the first-line setting. FMEC also noted that a difference in efficacy between the two regimens was not determined; however, pomalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone is less costly and has an oral formulation, and thus may be preferred. Another important consideration would be the side effect profile of each drug regimen.

being used as a treatment in the second-line setting.



# Therapeutic Landscape

## What Is Multiple Myeloma?

- 46 Multiple myeloma is an incurable plasma cell neoplasm, characterized by an
- 47 uncontrolled growth of plasma cells in the bone marrow. The preferred first-
- 48 line therapy for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma is high dose
- 49 chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation. For patients
- who are not eligible for this procedure due to health risks or other reasons a
- 51 number of multi-drug regimens can be offered to these patients. It is
- estimated over 50% of patients may not be eligible for transplant.

## Why Did CADTH Conduct This Review?

- Publicly funded drug plans requested this therapeutic review to determine in
- what sequences drugs for transplant-ineligible multiple myeloma should be
- reimbursed to maximize clinical and cost-effectiveness while considering
- 57 patient safety, characteristics, experience, and preferences.

58

53

44

45

59

60

61

6263

64

65

66

67

68

### **Person with Lived Experience**

A person with lived experience presented her journey living with multiple myeloma after being diagnosed in 2020 at the age of 77. As an avid traveler, she began noticing her energy levels and strength were declining, and her family physician noted a decline in kidney functions, leading to further testing and a diagnosis. She began treatment with Revlimid, dexamethasone and monthly infusion of zoledronic acid. She reported symptoms such as anemia, neuropathy, diarrhea, bone pain, fatigue, cramps, and concerns of infections. She emphasized the need for treatment protocols to consider patient circumstances when looking at treatment options, highlighting that Dexamethasone had unbearable side effects for her treatment specifically. Although she hasn't reached remission, her treatment has kept her proteins stable for 44 months now. She emphasized that for patients, convenience in the treatment method is important, such as an oral form. Lastly, she expressed that reducing side effects such as brain fog, stomach issues, shaking and energy levels are critical for patients.



# Stakeholder Feedback

70	What Did We Hear From Patients?
71 72 73	CADTH received input on project scope from Myeloma Canada. Patients want treatments that balance efficacy, safety and quality of life, are least invasive, and are financially accessible.
74	What Did We Hear From Clinicians?
75 76 77 78 79 80	CADTH consulted clinical experts who provided inputs on the project scope and feedback to the clinical and health economic reports. It was noted that while they welcomed the project, they also expressed caution given the complexity of the disease, the heterogeneity of multiple myeloma patients and the constantly evolving treatment landscape. Clinicians have also highlighted that newer therapies are available such as CAR-T therapies and selinexor since the initiation of this review.
81	What Did We Hear From the
82	Pharmaceutical Industry?
83 84 85 86	CADTH has received inputs and feedback from multiple manufacturers. They have provided inputs on the project scope and feedback to the clinical and health economic reports. The industry feedback described the strengths and limitations of the NMA and the context around the current treatment landscape.
87	What Did We Hear From Public Drug Programs?
88 89 90	CADTH was requested by (and received support from) Public drug plans to initiate this therapeutic review on multiple myeloma, specifically on the transplant-ineligible patient population.
91	

Refer to **Stakeholder Input** section of the CADTH report



95

102

103

## **Deliberative Summary**

- 96 FMEC addressed the following questions based on the results of the clinical and
- 97 economic analyses, patients' input, and clinicians' input as well as provisional
- 98 funding algorithms on the management of multiple myeloma.
- 99 Of note, the NMA only included drugs that were identified in the project scope
- published in May 2021; therefore, any new therapy since that date (e.g., CAR-T
- therapies, selinexor) was not included in the analysis of this review.

## Table 1: Why Did FMEC Make These

### **Recommendations?**

#### **Ouestions or Discussion Points** considerations Is there sufficient For patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who are not evidence to support eligible for transplant, FMEC recommends prioritizing a regimen that the prioritization of contains daratumumab as first-line treatment. FMEC discussed the daratumumabpublicly available price of daratumumab, and jurisdictions may need containing regimens to consider negotiating further price reductions to improve the costin the first- and/or effectiveness of a daratumumab-containing regimen in the first line second-line setting of treatment. based on clinical and Based on the economic analysis, FMEC noted that sequences which cost-effectiveness? utilised daratumumab-based regimens in the first line generated the highest number of quality adjusted life years (between 5.3 to 5.7) but also the highest costs (between \$800,000 and \$1,000,000) based on publicly available prices. Depending on what these sequences were compared to, the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio consistently exceeded ~\$450,000 per QALY gained. A price reduction is therefore required for daratumumab to be considered cost effective, at conventional willingness to pay thresholds, if used in the first line setting. The degree of price reduction will depend on currently negotiated prices for all treatment regimens used to treat transplant ineligible multiple myeloma. FMEC noted that the results of the network meta-analysis demonstrated that daratumumab/lenalidomide/dexamethasone and daratumumab/bortezomib/melphalan/prednisone regimens have shown statistically superior progression-free survival estimates when compared to lenalidomide/dexamethasone in the first line setting.



Questions or considerations	Discussion Points
	<ul> <li>FMEC clinical experts suggested that daratumumab/bortezomib/melphalan/prednisone is no longer clinically relevant in Canada due to increased toxicity with melphalan.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The results of the network meta-analysis were similar to the MAIA clinical trial and the FACON NMA and correlate with clinical experts' opinions as well as international guidelines.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Given patient harms were unable to be evaluated in the network meta-analysis, FMEC acknowledged that clinicians need to consider the safety profiles of individual treatment when choosing an optimal first-line or second-line regimen for patients.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The results of qualitative reviews have highlighted the impact of treatments of multiple myeloma on the quality of life. The chosen regimen should align with patients' preferences and optimize their experiences with the treatment journey.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>FMEC's guest specialists identified that treatment options for transplant-ineligible patients would be offered to patients who decline to undergo a transplant as also heard from the patient with lived experience.</li> </ul>
Is there sufficient evidence to support the prioritization of lenalidomide- containing regimens in the first- and/or second-line setting in patients who are less fit and cannot take daratumumab, based on clinical and cost- effectiveness?	The proportion of patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who are not eligible for transplant nor suitable for a daratumumab-containing regimen due to frailty is small, and it only represents less than 5% of this population according to FMEC clinical experts; and  2) there is a lack of certainty in the clinical evidence for lenalidomide in the first- and second-line setting.
Is there sufficient evidence to support the prioritization of carfilzomib-containing regimens and pomalidomide- containing regimens	The clinical efficacy between pomalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone and carfilzomib/dexamethasone is comparable based on the results from the NMA. FMEC noted that generic versions of pomalidomide were available at the time of the analysis, but prices from Ontario were used and do not reflect prices paid by other jurisdictions. Based on these lower prices paid across Canada, this reduces the cost of



Questions or considerations	Discussion Points
based on clinical and cost-effectiveness?	pomalidomide-based regimens in the economic analysis to be less costly than carfilzomib-based regimens. FMEC recommended a note be added to the Economic Report to this effect.
	For patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma who received a daratumumab-containing regimen in the first-line setting, FMEC recommended the choice between pomalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone and carfilzomib/dexamethasone in the second- or third-line setting be left at the physician's discretion.
	FMEC discussed that if using a pomalidomide-based regimen in second line, the preferred regimen is pomalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone based on the NMA.
	Of note, selinexor was not considered by FMEC in the second-line setting as it was not available at the time of the review nor included at the time of the initiation of the review.
Is there sufficient evidence to support the prioritization of isatuximab-containing regimens, based on clinical and cost effectiveness?	<ul> <li>FMEC was unable to issue any recommendation for this question.</li> <li>Isatuximab is only relevant at this time for patients who previously received lenalidomide/dexamethasone +/-bortezomib up to 5 years ago and who now relapse. Isatuximab is not used in patients who have already received a daratumumab-containing regimen as both drugs have a similar mechanism of action.</li> </ul>

#### Feedback on Draft 106 Recommendations 107 108 <to be updated after the stakeholder feedback period> 109 **FMEC Information** 110 111 Members of the Committee: Dr. Alun Edwards, Ms. Valerie McDonald, Dr. Jim Silvius, Dr. Marianne Taylor, Dr. Maureen Trudeau, Dr. Dominika Wranik, Dr. 112 113 Emily Reynen (Chair), Dr. Irwindeep Sandhu (guest specialist), and Dr. Darrell 114 White (quest specialist). Meeting dates: October 17, 2023 and November 30, 2023 115 116 Conflicts of interest: None 117 Special thanks: CADTH extends our special thanks to the individual who presented directly to FMEC on behalf of patients with lived experience as well 118 as Myeloma Canada, a patient organization representing the community of 119 120 those living with Multiple Myeloma including Jessy Ranger, Martine Elias, and 121 Vivien Lougheed. 122

125 126 127 128 129 130	patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency fo Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, c services.
131 132 133 134 135	While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.
136 137	CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.
138 139 140 141 142	This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.
143 144	Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third-party supplier of information.
145 146	This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.
147 148 149 150	This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.
151 152 153 154	The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian Copyright Act and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.
155 156 157 158 159 160 161	Confidential information in this document may be redacted at the request of the sponsor in accordance with the CADTH Drug Reimbursement Review Confidentiality Guidelines. CADTH was established by Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments to be a trusted source of independent information and advice for the country's publicly funded health care systems. Health administrators and policy experts rely on CADTH to help inform their decisions about the life cycle management of drugs, devices, and services used to prevent, diagnose, and treat medical conditions.
162 163	CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.
164	
165	
166	
167	cadth.ca
168	
169	January 2024

The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health