

CADTH DRUG REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

# Pharmacoeconomic Report

ENZALUTAMIDE (XTANDI)

(Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.)

**Indication:** In combination with androgen-deprivation therapy for the treatment of patients with metastatic castration sensitive prostate cancer.

Version: Final  
Publication Date: September 23, 2020  
Report Length: 19 Pages

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

## Table of Contents

List of Tables.....	4
Abbreviations .....	5
Executive Summary .....	6
Conclusions.....	8
Stakeholder Input Relevant to the Economic Review .....	9
Economic Review .....	10
Appendix 1: Cost Comparison Table .....	11
Appendix 2: Submission Quality .....	12
Appendix 3: Additional Information on the Submitted Economic Evaluation.....	13
Appendix 4: Additional Details on the CADTH Reanalyses and Sensitivity Analyses of the Economic Evaluation .....	14
Appendix 5: Submitted Budget Impact Analysis and CADTH Appraisal.....	15

## List of Tables

Table 1: Submitted for Review.....	6
Table 2: Summary of Economic Evaluation.....	7

## Abbreviations

<b>AAP + ADT</b>	abiraterone acetate plus prednisone in combination with androgen deprivation therapy
<b>ADT</b>	androgen deprivation therapy
<b>AE</b>	adverse event
<b>APA + ADT</b>	apalutamide in combination with androgen deprivation therapy
<b>BIA</b>	budget impact analysis
<b>BSC</b>	best supportive care
<b>CAD</b>	Canadian Dollars
<b>CGP</b>	clinical guidance panel
<b>DOC + ADT</b>	docetaxel in combination with androgen deprivation therapy
<b>ENZ + ADT</b>	enzalutamide in combination with androgen deprivation therapy
<b>ICER</b>	incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
<b>IV</b>	intravenous
<b>LHRH</b>	luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone
<b>mCRPC</b>	metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer
<b>mCSPC</b>	metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer
<b>NMA</b>	network meta-analysis
<b>OS</b>	overall survival
<b>QALY</b>	quality-adjusted life year
<b>QoL</b>	quality of life
<b>rPFS</b>	radiographic progression-free survival
<b>TTD</b>	time to treatment discontinuation
<b>UK</b>	United Kingdom
<b>WTP</b>	willingness-to-pay

## Executive Summary

The executive summary is comprised of two tables (Table 1: Background and Table 2: Economic) and a conclusion.

**Table 1: Submitted for Review**

Item	Description
<b>Drug Product</b>	Enzalutamide (Xtandi), 40 mg capsule
<b>Submitted Price</b>	Enzalutamide, 40 mg capsule: \$29.20
<b>Indication</b>	For the treatment of patients with metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer
<b>Health Canada Approval Status</b>	Under review (pre-NOC)
<b>Health Canada review pathway</b>	Standard review
<b>NOC Date</b>	Jun 2, 2020
<b>Reimbursement Request</b>	As per indication
<b>Sponsor</b>	Astellas Canada
<b>Submission History</b>	<p>Previously Reviewed: Yes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Indication: For the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Recommendation date: Jul 23, 2013 Recommendation: Recommended.<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Indication: For the first line treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Recommendation date: Jun 22, 2015 Recommendation: Recommended on the condition of cost-effectiveness being improved to an acceptable level.<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Indication: For the treatment of non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Recommendation date: Mar 26, 2019 Recommendation: Recommended on the condition of cost-effectiveness being improved to an acceptable level and feasibility of the budget impact being addressed.<sup>3</sup></li> </ol>

NOC = Health Canada Notice of Compliance.

**Table 2: Summary of Economic Evaluation**

Component	Description
<b>Type of Economic Evaluation</b>	Cost-utility analysis Markov Model
<b>Target Population</b>	Adult male patients with metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (aligned with reimbursement request)
<b>Treatment</b>	Enzalutamide in combination with androgen deprivation therapy (ENZ + ADT)
<b>Comparators</b>	Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) alone Docetaxel in combination with ADT (DOC + ADT) Apalutamide in combination with ADT (APA + ADT) Abiraterone acetate plus prednisone in combination with ADT (AAP + ADT)
<b>Perspective</b>	Canadian publicly-funded health care payer
<b>Outcomes</b>	QALYs, LYs
<b>Time Horizon</b>	15 years
<b>Key Data Source</b>	ARCHES and ENZAMET trials and sponsor submitted network meta-analysis (NMA) reporting overall survival (OS) and radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS)
<b>Submitted Results for Base Case</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The sequential ICER for ENZ + ADT is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ENZ + ADT vs. DOC + ADT: \$132,000 per QALY (1.35 inc. QALYs; \$178,694 inc. costs)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Key Limitations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Based on the limited duration of the clinical trials and immaturity of the survival data, there was substantial uncertainty regarding the duration of treatment effect and the long-term extrapolation of OS for ENZ + ADT.</li> <li>The rPFS extrapolations selected by the sponsor were not considered to be clinically feasible as rPFS was greater than overall survival at specified time points.</li> <li>The sponsor used direct trial data rather than the NMA results to inform ENZ + ADT efficacy, which biased cost-effectiveness results in favour of ENZ + ADT. Indirect evidence captured as part of the NMA is therefore precluded from the analyses. Given that comparator treatments (i.e., APA + ADT, AAP + ADT, DOC + ADT) were informed using NMA results, there is further uncertainty when incorporating separate data sources.</li> <li>The sponsor utilized a 15-year time horizon, however with interventions that have differential effects on mortality, a lifetime time horizon of 20-years, was considered more appropriate as per CADTH Guidelines.</li> <li>Drug dose intensity was assumed to be equal for all treatments (100% compliance), however this assumption was considered overtly optimistic for DOC + ADT given the expected toxicity and lower compliance compared to oral treatments.</li> <li>Non-cancer mortality was not included and the sponsor assumed general population mortality is representative of mCSPC patients. However, patients with mCSPC have an elevated risk of mortality due to comorbidities compared with the general population.</li> </ul>
<b>CADTH Reanalysis Results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CADTH reanalyses included: a revised dose intensity for DOC + ADT; extending the time horizon; using ENZ + ADT NMA results; including non-cancer mortality; modifying rPFS extrapolations; and, applying a treatment waning effect.</li> <li>The sequential ICER for ENZ + ADT is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ENZ + ADT vs. DOC + ADT: \$294,805 per QALY (0.24 inc. QALYs; \$72,381 inc. costs)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The probability of ENZ + ADT being considered cost-effective at a WTP threshold of \$50,000 per QALY was 0%.</li> <li>At a WTP threshold of \$50,000 per QALY, a price reduction of approximately 75% would be required for ENZ+ADT.</li> </ul>

AAP + ADT = abiraterone acetate plus prednisone in combination with androgen deprivation therapy; ADT = androgen deprivation therapy; APA + ADT = apalutamide in combination with androgen deprivation therapy; DOC + ADT = docetaxel in combination with androgen deprivation therapy; ENZ + ADT = enzalutamide in combination with androgen deprivation therapy; inc. = incremental; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; LY = life year; NMA = network meta-analysis; OS = overall survival; QALY= quality-adjusted life-year; rPFS = radiographic progression-free survival; WTP = willingness-to-pay.

Note: Extendedly dominated refers to a treatment having a higher ICER when compared to both the previous and next most effective treatment. Dominated treatments are more costly and less effective versus the comparator.

## Conclusions

CADTH undertook reanalyses to address limitations that included a revised dose intensity, extending the time horizon, using ENZ + ADT NMA results, including non-cancer mortality, modifying rPFS extrapolations, and applying a treatment waning effect.

CADTH's findings were aligned with the sponsor's results where APA + ADT remained extendedly dominated and AAP + ADT remained dominated. However, OS and rPFS estimates for ENZ + ADT were not statistically different when compared to APA + ADT and the sponsor's NMA was associated with multiple limitations. Therefore, it cannot be concluded that ENZ + ADT efficacy would be substantially different from APA + ADT, which utilizes a similar mechanism of action. Based on CADTH base case reanalyses, ENZ + ADT is not a cost-effective treatment option at \$100,000 and \$50,000 per QALY WTP thresholds with an ICER of \$294,805 per QALY versus DOC + ADT. Based on current list prices, at WTP thresholds of \$100,000 and \$50,000 per QALY, respective price reductions of at least 60% and 75% are required.

Based on the sponsor's submitted budget impact analysis, [REDACTED]. *(Non-disclosable information was used in this CADTH Guidance Report and the sponsor requested this economic information not be disclosed pursuant to the Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review. This information will remain redacted until notification by the sponsor that it can be publicly disclosed).* CADTH reanalyses suggest that the budget impact of introducing enzalutamide to the market was underestimated [REDACTED]. CADTH's revised results estimated an increase to budgets of \$3,139,045 over the first 3 years. *(Non-disclosable information was used in this CADTH Guidance Report and the sponsor requested this economic information not be disclosed pursuant to the Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review. This information will remain redacted until notification by the sponsor that it can be publicly disclosed).*

## Stakeholder Input Relevant to the Economic Review

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Economic Review

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 1: Cost Comparison Table

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 2: Submission Quality

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## **Appendix 3: Additional Information on the Submitted Economic Evaluation**

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## **Appendix 4: Additional Details on the CADTH Reanalyses and Sensitivity Analyses of the Economic Evaluation**

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 5: Submitted Budget Impact Analysis and CADTH Appraisal

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## References

1. pCODR Expert Review Committee final recommendation: Xtandi for metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer. Toronto (ON): CADTH; 2013: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/pcodr-xtandi-fn-rec.pdf>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
2. pCODR Expert Review Committee final recommendation: Xtandi first line metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Toronto (ON): CADTH; 2015: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/pcodr\\_enzalutamide\\_xtandi\\_1stln\\_mcrpc\\_fn\\_rec.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/pcodr_enzalutamide_xtandi_1stln_mcrpc_fn_rec.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
3. pCODR Expert Review Committee final recommendation: Xtandi for non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Toronto (ON): CADTH; 2019: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10149Enzalutamidenm-CRPC\\_FnRec\\_EarlyConv\\_2019-03-26-v4\\_Post\\_26Mar2019\\_final.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10149Enzalutamidenm-CRPC_FnRec_EarlyConv_2019-03-26-v4_Post_26Mar2019_final.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
4. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation. In: pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review sponsor submission: Xtandi (enzalutamide) 40 mg capsules. Markham (ON): Astellas Pharma Canada; 2020.
5. Armstrong AJ, Szmulewitz RZ, Petrylak DP, et al. ARCHES: a randomized, phase III study of androgen deprivation therapy with enzalutamide or placebo in men with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37(32):2974-2986.
6. Davis ID, Martin AJ, Stockler MR, et al. Enzalutamide with standard first-line therapy in metastatic prostate cancer. *NEJM*. 2019;381(2):121-131.
7. <sup>PR</sup>Zoladex® LA (goserelin acetate): 10.8 mg inj [product monograph]. Mississauga (ON): AstraZeneca; 2017: [https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd\\_pm/00042729.PDF](https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00042729.PDF). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
8. <sup>PR</sup>Firmagon® (degarelix for injection): 80 mg and 120 mg vial [product monograph]. North York (ON): Ferring Pharmaceuticals 2016: [https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd\\_pm/00034229.PDF](https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00034229.PDF). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
9. <sup>PR</sup>Lupron® (leuprolide acetate injection): 5 mg/mL / <sup>PR</sup>Lupron Depot® (leuprolide acetate for suspension); 7.5 mg/syringe, 22.5 mg/syringe, 30.0 mg/syringe [product monograph]. St-Laurent (QC): AbbVie; 2019: [https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd\\_pm/00051910.PDF](https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00051910.PDF). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
10. <sup>PR</sup>Docetaxel injection (docetaxel injection USP): 20 mg/mL, 80 mg/4 mL, 160 mg/8 mL one-vial formulation, for intravenous infusion [product monograph]. St. Catharines (ON): Biolyse Pharma; 2016: [https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd\\_pm/00036571.PDF](https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00036571.PDF). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
11. Erleada® (apalutamide): 60 mg tablets, oral [product monograph]. Toronto (ON): Janssen; 2019 Jun 12.
12. <sup>PR</sup>Zytiga® (abirateron acetate): 250 mg uncoated tablets, 500 mg film-coated tablets, for oral use [product monograph]. Toronto (ON): Janssen; 2019: [https://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www\\_janssen\\_com\\_canada/files/prod\\_files/live/zytiga\\_cpm.pdf](https://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www_janssen_com_canada/files/prod_files/live/zytiga_cpm.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
13. Malone S, Shayegan B, Basappa NS, et al. Management algorithms for metastatic prostate cancer. *CUAJ*. 2019;14(2):50-60.
14. Saad F, Aprikian A, Finelli A, et al. 2019 Canadian Urological Association (CUA)-Canadian Uro Oncology Group (CUOG) guideline: Management of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). *CUAJ*. 2019;13(10):307-314.
15. Saad F, Canil C, Finelli A, et al. Controversial issues in the management of patients with advanced prostate cancer: results from a Canadian consensus forum. *CUAJ*. 2019;14(4):E137-149.
16. Pfizer. NCT01212991: A safety and efficacy study of oral MDV3100 in chemotherapy-naïve patients with progressive metastatic prostate cancer (PREVAIL). *ClinicalTrials.gov*. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2020: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT01212991>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.

17. Chi KN, Agarwal N, Bjartell A, et al. Apalutamide for metastatic, castration-sensitive prostate cancer. *NEJM*. 2019;381(1):13-24.
18. Fizazi K, Tran N, Fein L, et al. Abiraterone plus prednisone in metastatic, castration-sensitive prostate cancer. *NEJM*. 2017;377(4):352-360.
19. Gravis G, Fizazi K, Joly F, et al. Androgen-deprivation therapy alone or with docetaxel in non-castrate metastatic prostate cancer (GETUG-AFU 15): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14(2):149-158.
20. Sweeney CJ, Chen YH, Carducci M, et al. Chemohormonal therapy in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer. *NEJM*. 2015;373(8):737-746.
21. van Hout B, Janssen MF, Feng YS, et al. Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets. *Value Health*. 2012;15(5):708-715.
22. Pfizer. NCT00974311: Safety and efficacy study of MDV3100 in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer who have been previously treated with docetaxel-based chemotherapy (AFFIRM). *ClinicalTrials.gov*. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2018: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/NCT00974311>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
23. Exceptional Access Program (EAP). Toronto (ON): Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2019: [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/drugs/odbf/odbf\\_except\\_access.aspx](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/drugs/odbf/odbf_except_access.aspx). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
24. Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. Drug Benefit Formulary/Comparative Drug Index. 2019; <https://www.formulary.health.gov.on.ca/formulary/>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
25. pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review final economic guidance report: enzalutamide (Xtandi) for non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10149Enzalutamidenm-CRPC\\_fnEGR\\_EC\\_NOREDACT-ABBREV\\_Post\\_26Mar2019\\_final.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10149Enzalutamidenm-CRPC_fnEGR_EC_NOREDACT-ABBREV_Post_26Mar2019_final.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
26. DeltaPA [Database on Internet]. Ottawa (ON): IQVIA; 2020: <https://www.iqvia.com/>. Accessed 2020 May 29.
27. Hollander MJ. Costs of end-of-life care: findings from the province of Saskatchewan. *Healthc Q*. 2009;12(3):50-58.
28. Krahn MD, Bremner KE, Zagorski B, et al. Health care costs for state transition models in prostate cancer. *Med Decis Making*. 2014;34(3):366-378.
29. Schedule of benefits for physician services under the Health Insurance Act: effective October 1, 2019. Toronto (ON): Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2016: <http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
30. Krahn MD, Zagorski B, Laporte A, et al. Healthcare costs associated with prostate cancer: estimates from a population-based study. *BJU Int*. 2010;105(3):338-346.
31. Schedule of benefits for laboratory services under the Health Insurance Act: effective January 1, 2020. Toronto (ON): The Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2020: [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/lab/lab\\_mn2020.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/lab/lab_mn2020.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
32. Clarke NW, Ali A, Ingleby FC, et al. Addition of docetaxel to hormonal therapy in low- and high-burden metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer: long-term survival results from the STAMPEDE trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2019;30(12):1992-2003.
33. Gravis G, Boher JM, Joly F, et al. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) plus docetaxel versus ADT alone in metastatic non castrate prostate cancer: impact of metastatic burden and long-term survival analysis of the randomized phase 3 GETUG-AFU15 trial. *Eur Urol*. 2016;70(2):256-262.

34. James ND, Sydes MR, Clarke NW, et al. Addition of docetaxel, zoledronic acid, or both to first-line long-term hormone therapy in prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): survival results from an adaptive, multiarm, multistage, platform randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2016;387(10024):1163-1177.
35. Kamba T, Kamoto T, Maruo S, et al. A phase III multicenter, randomized, controlled study of combined androgen blockade with versus without zoledronic acid in prostate cancer patients with metastatic bone disease: results of the ZAPCA trial. *Int J Clin Oncol*. 2017;22(1):166-173.
36. Kyriakopoulos CE, Chen YH, Carducci MA, et al. Chemohormonal therapy in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: long-term survival analysis of the randomized phase III E3805 CHAARTED trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(11):1080-1087.
37. Marchioni M, Di Nicola M, Primiceri G, et al. New antiandrogen compounds compared to docetaxel for metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer: results from a network meta-analysis. *J Urol*. 2020;203(4):751-759.
38. Sathianathen NJ, Koschel S, Thangasamy IA, et al. Indirect comparisons of efficacy between combination approaches in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2020;77(3):365-372.
39. Guidelines for the economic evaluation of health technologies: Canada 4th edition. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2017: [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/guidelines\\_for\\_the\\_economic\\_evaluation\\_of\\_health\\_technologies\\_canada\\_4th\\_ed.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/guidelines_for_the_economic_evaluation_of_health_technologies_canada_4th_ed.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar30.
40. Methods for mapping between the EQ-5D-5L and the 3L for technology appraisal. Sheffield (UK): Decision Support Unit, ScHARR, University of Sheffield; 2017: <http://nicedsu.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Mapping-5L-to-3L-DSU-report.pdf>. Accessed 2020 May 19.
41. Position statement on use of the EQ-5D-5L value set for England (updated October 2019). 2019; <https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-guidance/technology-appraisal-guidance/eq-5d-5l>. Accessed 2020 May 19.
42. Hatswell AJ, Porter J, Lee D, Hertel N, Latimer NR. The cost of costing treatments incorrectly: errors in the application of drug prices in economic evaluation due to failing to account for the distribution of patient weight. *Value Health*. 2016;19(8):1055-1058.
43. Baade PD, Fritschi L, Eakin EG. Non-cancer mortality among people diagnosed with cancer (Australia). *Cancer Causes Control*. 2006;17(3):287-297.
44. Government of Canada. Generic submissions under review. 2020; <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drug-health-product-review-approval/generic-submissions-under-review.html>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
45. Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, et al. Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. *NEJM*. 2004;351(15):1502-1512.
46. Bahl A, Oudard S, Tombal B, et al. Impact of cabazitaxel on 2-year survival and palliation of tumour-related pain in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated in the TROPIC trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2013;24(9):2402-2408.
47. Radium-223 dichloride for treating hormone-relapsed prostate cancer with bone metastases. *Technology appraisal guidance TA412*. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2016: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta412/resources/radium223-dichloride-for-treating-hormonerelapsed-prostate-cancer-with-bone-metastases-pdf-82604599866565>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
48. Radium-223 dichloride 1000kBq/mL solution for injection (Xofigo®). Glasgow (UK): Scottish Medicines Consortium; 2015: [https://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/media/2205/radium\\_223\\_xofigo\\_final\\_sept\\_2015\\_160915\\_for\\_website.pdf](https://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/media/2205/radium_223_xofigo_final_sept_2015_160915_for_website.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 30.

49. Purchasing power parities (PPP). Paris (FR): OECD; 2018: <https://data.oecd.org/conversion/purchasing-power-parities-ppp.htm>. Accessed 2020 Mar 30.
50. Scher HI, Fizazi K, Saad F, et al. Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. *NEJM*. 2012;367(13):1187-1197.
51. Fizazi K, Scher HI, Molina A, et al. Abiraterone acetate for treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: final overall survival analysis of the COU-AA-301 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13(10):983-992.
52. de Bono JS, Oudard S, Ozguroglu M, et al. Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a randomised open-label trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2010;376(9747):1147-1154.
53. Canadian Cancer Society Advisory Committee. Canadian cancer statistics. a 2018 special report on cancer incidence by stage. Toronto (ON): Canadian Cancer Society; 2018: <http://www.cancer.ca/Canadian-Cancer-Statistics-2018-EN>. Accessed 2020 Mar 31.
54. Cancer Care Ontario. Ontario Cancer Statistics 2018. Cancer Care Ontario,; 2018: [https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/assets/ANI\\_32628719\\_OCS2018\\_AODA\\_Mar2019\\_V02.pdf](https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/assets/ANI_32628719_OCS2018_AODA_Mar2019_V02.pdf). Accessed 2020 Mar 31.
55. Canadian Cancer Society's Advisory Committee on Cancer Statistics. Canadian Cancer Statistics 2019. Toronto (ON): Canadian Cancer Society; 2019: <https://www.cancer.ca/~media/cancer.ca/CW/publications/Canadian%20Cancer%20Statistics/Canadian-Cancer-Statistics-2019-EN.pdf>. Accessed 2020 May 29.
56. Pharmacoeconomic report: apalutamide (Erleada). *CADTH Drug reimbursement review*. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2020: [https://cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2020/10200ApalutamidemCSPC\\_fnEGR\\_REDACT-ABBREV\\_EC\\_22Apr2020\\_final.pdf](https://cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2020/10200ApalutamidemCSPC_fnEGR_REDACT-ABBREV_EC_22Apr2020_final.pdf). Accessed 22 May 2020.
57. Annual demographic estimates: Canada, provinces and territories. Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2019: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/91-215-x/91-215-x2019001-eng.pdf?st=TFS9x2Wd>. Accessed 2020 May 27.