

CADTH DRUG REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

# Pharmacoeconomic Report

**Venetoclax (VENCLEXTA)**

**(AbbVie Corporation)**

**Indication:** in combination with obinutuzumab for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) who are fludarabine ineligible.

Version: Final

Publication Date: November 17, 2020

Report Length: 18 Pages

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian Copyright Act and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

## Table of Contents

List of Tables .....	4
Abbreviations .....	5
Executive Summary .....	6
Conclusions .....	8
Stakeholder Input Relevant to the Economic Review .....	9
Economic Review .....	10
Appendix 1: Cost Comparison Table .....	11
Appendix 2: Submission Quality .....	12
Appendix 3: Additional Information on the Submitted Economic Evaluation .....	13
Appendix 4: Additional Details on the CADTH Reanalyses and Sensitivity Analyses of the Economic Evaluation .....	14
Appendix 5: Submitted BIA and CADTH Appraisal .....	15

## List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of Economic Evaluation.....	6
Table 2: Summary of Economic Evaluation.....	7

## Abbreviations

<b>BCRi</b>	B-Cell receptor inhibitor
<b>BEN-RIT</b>	bendamustine plus rituximab
<b>CLB-OBI</b>	chlorambucil plus obinutuzumab
<b>CLB-RIT</b>	chlorambucil plus rituximab
<b>CLL</b>	chronic lymphocytic leukemia
<b>FCR</b>	fludarabine plus cyclophosphamide and rituximab
<b>HC</b>	Health Canada
<b>ICER</b>	incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
<b>IGHV</b>	immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region genes
<b>ITC</b>	indirect treatment comparison
<b>IV</b>	intravenous
<b>OS</b>	overall survival
<b>PFS</b>	progression-free survival
<b>PPS</b>	post-progression survival
<b>PSM</b>	partitioned survival model
<b>QALY</b>	quality adjusted life-year
<b>TOT</b>	time on treatment
<b>TTNT</b>	time to next treatment
<b>WTP</b>	willingness-to-pay
<b>Ven</b>	venetoclax
<b>VEN-OBI</b>	venetoclax plus obinutuzumab

## Executive Summary

**Table 1: Summary of Economic Evaluation**

Item	Description
Drug product	Venetoclax (Venclexta) in combination with obinutuzumab; Venetoclax is administered orally (tablet) and obinutuzumab is administered intravenously
Submitted price	Venetoclax, tablet, 10 mg: \$7 per tablet Venetoclax, tablet, 50 mg: \$35 per tablet Venetoclax, tablet, 100 mg: \$70 per tablet
Indication	In combination with obinutuzumab for the treatment of patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).
Health Canada approval status	Approved
Health Canada review pathway	Standard
NOC date	April 29, 2020
Reimbursement request	Venetoclax in combination with obinutuzumab for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated CLL who are fludarabine ineligible.
Sponsor	AbbVie Corporation
Submission history	<p>Previously reviewed: Yes            Drug/combination: Venetoclax (Venclexta)            Indication: Patients with CLL who have received at least one prior therapy and who have failed a BCRI            Recommendation date: March 2, 2018            Recommendation: Reimburse with conditions (including price reduction)</p> <p>Previously reviewed: Yes            Drug/combination: Venetoclax (Venclexta) in combination with Rituximab            Indication: Adult patients with CLL who have received at least one prior therapy, irrespective of their 17p deletion status.            Recommendation date: May 31, 2019            Recommendation: Reimburse with conditions (including a price reduction)</p>

CLL = Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, NOC = Notice of Compliance; BCRI = B-cell Receptor Inhibitor

**Table 2: Summary of Economic Evaluation**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Type of economic evaluation</b>	Cost utility analysis Partitioned survival model
<b>Target populations</b>	Base case analysis: All previously untreated CLL patients (aligned with the Health Canada-approved indication) Scenario analysis: Previously untreated CLL patients who are considered fludarabine ineligible (aligned with sponsor's reimbursement request)
<b>Treatment</b>	Venetoclax in combination with obinutuzumab (VEN-OBI)
<b>Comparators</b>	Base case: Chlorambucil plus Obinutuzumab (CLB-OBI), Bendamustine plus Rituximab (BEN-RIT), Chlorambucil plus Rituximab (CLB-RIT), Ibrutinib, Fludarabine plus Cyclophosphamide and Rituximab (FCR) Scenario analysis: CLB-OBI, BEN-RIT, CLB-RIT, Ibrutinib
<b>Perspective</b>	Canadian publicly funded health care system
<b>Outcome</b>	Quality adjusted life-years (QALYs)
<b>Time horizon</b>	10 years
<b>Key data sources</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CLL14 trial (comparison of VEN-OBI and CLB-OBI) and ITC (comparative efficacy for BEN-RIT, CLB-RIT, Ibrutinib and FCR; relative to VEN-OBI) were used to inform PFS, OS, TTNT and TOT. Separate ITCs were used to inform the base case population and reimbursement request population.</li> </ul>
<b>Submitted results for base case and key scenario analyses</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In previously untreated CLL patients, VEN-OBI is dominant (i.e. resulted in lower costs and greater QALYs (0.285 to 0.860) compared to all comparators (CLB-OBI, BEN-RIT, CLB-RIT, Ibrutinib and FCR).</li> <li>The probability of VEN-OBI being cost-effective at a willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold of \$50,000 per QALY gained compared to all comparators was 97%. VEN-OBI was dominant in 63% of iterations.</li> <li>In previously untreated CLL patients who are fludarabine ineligible, VEN-OBI remained dominant compared to all comparators.</li> </ul>
<b>Key limitations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The key clinical evidence for VEN-OBI was derived from a population reflective of the reimbursement request population. The clinical experts consulted by CADTH considered that the data from the CLL14 trial in previously untreated CLL patients considered fludarabine ineligible was not generalizable to the broader Health Canada-indicated population. Due to this gap in the clinical evidence, the cost-effectiveness of VEN-OBI in the full Health Canada population is unknown.</li> <li>The comparative clinical efficacy of VEN-OBI to all comparators except CLB-OBI was uncertain as it was derived from a fixed effects ITC which was identified to have substantial heterogeneity in the populations included, differences in effect modifiers, and in the design of included studies. The PFS hazard ratios resulting from the ITC, which are the key driver of the model results, were deemed to have questionable face validity and the clinical experts consulted by CADTH agreed that the hazard ratios were higher than expected in clinical practice, though the magnitude of impact is unclear.</li> <li>CADTH also identified limitations relating to how the ITC data were applied in the model. The decision to apply HRs that are dependent on the VEN-OBI curves restrains the explanatory power of the model, and underestimates the variability of the potential treatment outcomes. These constraints tend to bias the results in accordance with the HRs reported from the ITC.</li> </ul>
<b>CADTH reanalysis results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CADTH reanalyses focused on the fludarabine-ineligible population. The cost-effectiveness of VEN-OBI in fludarabine-eligible patients is unknown.</li> <li>While CADTH revisions based on best available data indicated that VEN-OBI remained dominant versus all comparators and reported similar findings to the sponsor's base case (97% probability at a WTP of \$50,000 per QALY that VEN-OBI is cost-effective), there is significant structural and parameter uncertainty that is left unaddressed due to the nature of the model design and the identified limitations with the submitted clinical evidence. As such, CADTH's reanalysis based on best available data doesn't capture the full extent of clinical uncertainty.</li> <li>CADTH undertook several scenario analyses assessing parameter uncertainty. Alternate assumptions to two key drivers – PFS and time on subsequent treatment – indicate greater</li> </ul>

Component	Description
	uncertainty in the cost-effectiveness estimate. If the PFS HR for any comparator treatments relative to VEN-OBI is 1.5, then the probability that VEN-OBI is cost-effective at a willingness to pay of \$50,000 per QALY is 71%, while if TTNT post-progression is assumed the same across treatments, the probability that VEN-OBI is cost-effective at a willingness to pay of \$50,000 per QALY is 79%.

ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; ITC = indirect treatment comparison; LY = life-year; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival; QALY= quality-adjusted life-year; TTNT: time to next treatment; TOT: time on treatment.

## Conclusions

The cost-effectiveness of VEN-OBI in patients with previously untreated CLL who are fludarabine-eligible is unknown, given the lack of clinical evidence for the use of VEN-OBI in that patient population.

While revisions based on best available data suggest that VEN-OBI is cost-effective compared to currently funded treatments for patients with previously untreated CLL who were fludarabine-ineligible, there is significant structural and parameter uncertainty that is left unaddressed due to the nature of the model design and the limitations identified with the submitted clinical evidence; particularly the clinical and methodological heterogeneity observed in the ITC, leading to concerns of the validity in the PFS HRs. As such, although VEN-OBI has been shown to improve PFS compared to CLB-OBI based on the CLL14 trial, the incremental benefit of VEN-OBI relative to other comparators is uncertain due to the limitations identified with the submitted ITC and the uncertain validity of the resulting hazard ratios.

Due to the design of the model, including the asserted dependence of treatment effects to favor VEN-OBI, it was structurally difficult to differentiate, test, and validate the sponsor's clinical assertions. As a result, while CADTH's reanalysis using best available data is relatively similar to that of the sponsor's, CADTH scenario analyses assessing parameter uncertainty highlighted the volatility of the model to alternate assumptions for the PFS hazard ratios and subsequent treatment assumptions. Based on CADTH's revised and scenario analyses, VEN-OBI is likely to be a cost-effective treatment option for previously untreated CLL patients who were fludarabine-ineligible if the PFS HR for comparators versus VEN-OBI is equal to or greater than 1.5 and the OS HR is close to 1.

Based on the sponsor's submitted budget impact model, CADTH reanalyses suggest that the estimated budget impact of introducing VEN-OBI to the market is uncertain due to uncertainty in the estimation of the population size and is sensitive to different ibrutinib market share displacement assumptions. CADTH considered that the three-year budget impact of introducing VEN-OBI to the market may range from \$8,087,572 to \$18,805,174 in this population. If the population is expanded to all previously untreated CLL patients, the budget impact is assumed to roughly double.

## Stakeholder Input Relevant to the Economic Review

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Economic Review

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 1: Cost Comparison Table

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 2: Submission Quality

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 3: Additional Information on the Submitted Economic Evaluation

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## **Appendix 4: Additional Details on the CADTH Reanalyses and Sensitivity Analyses of the Economic Evaluation**

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## Appendix 5: Submitted BIA and CADTH Appraisal

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in the executive summary. In accordance with the *Disclosure of Information Guidelines for the CADTH Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations and the participating drug programs for their information.

## References

1. Cost-effectiveness of venetoclax (Venclexta®) in combination with obinutuzumab (Gazyva®) for the treatment of patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) in Canada for the pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review program. In: pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review sponsor submission: venclexta (venetoclax) 10 mg, 50 mg and 100 mg tablets in combination with obinutuzumab. AbbVie Corporation. [Internal sponsor's report]. Saint-Laurent (QC): AbbVie Corporation; 2020 May 6.
2. Venclexta (venetoclax tablets) 10 mg, 50 mg and 100 mg, other antineoplastic agent [product monograph]. St-Laurent (QC): AbbVie Corporation; 2020 Apr 7.
3. Gazyva (obinutuzumab): 25 mg/mL concentrate for solution for infusion, professed standard, antineoplastic [product monograph]. Mississauga (ON): Hoffmann-La Roche Limited; 2018 Aug 9.
4. Barr PM, Robak T, Owen C, et al. Sustained efficacy and detailed clinical follow-up of first-line ibrutinib treatment in older patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: extended phase 3 results from RESONATE-2. *Haematologica (Roma)*. 2018;103(9):1502-1510.
5. Eichhorst B, Fink A-M, Bahlo J, et al. First-line chemoimmunotherapy with bendamustine and rituximab versus fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab in patients with advanced chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL10): an international, open-label, randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016;17(7):928-942.
6. Goede V, Fischer K, Engelke A, et al. Obinutuzumab as frontline treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: updated results of the CLL11 study. *Leukemia*. 2015;29(7):1602-1604.
7. Hillmen P, Robak T, Janssens A, et al. Chlorambucil plus ofatumumab versus chlorambucil alone in previously untreated patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (COMPLEMENT 1): a randomised, multicentre, open-label phase 3 trial. *The Lancet (British edition)*. 2015;385(9980):1873-1883.
8. Michallet A-S, Aktan M, Hiddemann W, et al. Rituximab plus bendamustine or chlorambucil for chronic lymphocytic leukemia: primary analysis of the randomized, open-label MABLE study. *Haematologica (Roma)*. 2018;103(4):698-706.
9. Moreno C, Greil R, Demirkiran F, et al. Ibrutinib plus obinutuzumab versus chlorambucil plus obinutuzumab in first-line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ILLUMINATE): a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2019;20(1):43-56.
10. Shanafelt TD, Wang V, Kay NE, et al. A randomized phase III study of ibrutinib (PCI-32765)-based therapy vs. standard fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab (FCR) chemoimmunotherapy in untreated younger patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL): a trial of the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group (E1912). *Blood*. 2018;132(Supplement 1):LBA-4.
11. Woyach JA, Ruppert AS, Heerema NA, et al. Ibrutinib regimens versus chemoimmunotherapy in older patients with untreated CLL. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(26):2517-2528.
12. Indirect treatment comparison of venetoclax plus obinutuzumab versus other treatments as frontline therapy in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. In: pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review sponsor submission: venclexta (venetoclax) 10 mg, 50 mg and 100 mg tablets in combination with obinutuzumab. AbbVie Corporation. [Internal sponsor's report]. St-Laurent (QC): AbbVie Corporation; 2020 Apr 17.
13. Statistics Canada. Table 13-10-0114-01: Life expectancy and other elements of the life table, Canada, all provinces except Prince Edward Island, 2016-18. 2020; <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=1310011401>. Accessed 2020 Aug 26.
14. Goede V, Fischer K, Busch R, et al. Obinutuzumab plus chlorambucil in patients with CLL and coexisting conditions. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(12):1101-1110.
15. Hallek M, Fischer K, Fingerle-Rowson G, et al. Addition of rituximab to fludarabine and cyclophosphamide in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9747):1164-1174.
16. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Obinutuzumab in combination with chlorambucil for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA343*) 2015; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta343/resources/obinutuzumab-in-combination-with-chlorambucil-for-untreated-chronic-lymphocytic-leukaemia-pdf-82602606162373>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
17. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Rituximab for the first-line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA174*) 2009; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta174/resources/rituximab-for-the-firstline-treatment-of-chronic-lymphocytic-leukaemia-pdf-82598435675845>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
18. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Bendamustine for the first-line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA2116*) 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta216/resources/bendamustine-for-the-firstline-treatment-of-chronic-lymphocytic-leukaemia-pdf-82600253020357>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
19. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Pixantrone monotherapy for treating multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's B - cell lymphoma. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA306*) 2014;

- <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta306/resources/pixantrone-monotherapy-for-treating-multiply-relapsed-or-refractory-aggressive-nonhodgkins-bcell-lymphoma-pdf-82602369336517>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
20. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Ofatumumab in combination with chlorambucil or bendamustine for untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA344*) 2015.
  21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Idelalisib for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. (*Technology appraisal guidance TA359*) 2015; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta359/resources/idelalisib-for-treating-chronic-lymphocytic-leukaemia-pdf-82602676706245>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
  22. Beusterien KM, Davies J, Leach M, et al. Population preference values for treatment outcomes in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a cross-sectional utility study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2010;8(1):50-50.
  23. Lloyd A, Nafees B, Narewska J, Dewilde S, Watkins J. Health state utilities for metastatic breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2006;95(6):683-690.
  24. Nafees B, Stafford M, Gavriel S, Bhalla S, Watkins J. Health state utilities for non small cell lung cancer. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2008;6(1):84-84.
  25. Tolley K, Goad C, Yi Y, Maroudas P, Haiderali A, Thompson G. Utility elicitation study in the UK general public for late-stage chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Eur J Health Econ*. 2012;14(5):749-759.
  26. Ara RM, Brazier JEP. Using health state utility values from the general population to approximate baselines in decision analytic models when condition-specific data are not available. *Value Health*. 2011;14(4):539-545.
  27. pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review final economic guidance report: venetoclax (Venclexta) rituximab for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019; [https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10162VenetoclaxRituximabCLL\\_fnEGR\\_NOREDACT-ABBREV\\_31May2019\\_final.pdf](https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pcodr/Reviews2019/10162VenetoclaxRituximabCLL_fnEGR_NOREDACT-ABBREV_31May2019_final.pdf). Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
  28. DeltaPA. [Ottawa (ON)]: IQVIA; 2020: <https://www.iqvia.com/>. Accessed 2020 Sep 08.
  29. Tam VC, Ko YJ, Mittmann N, et al. Cost-effectiveness of systemic therapies for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Curr Oncol (Toronto)*. 2013;20(2):e90-e106.
  30. Millar DR, Corrie P, Hill M, Pulfer A. PCN74 a service evaluation to compare secondary care resource use between xelox and folfox-6 regimens in the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer (MCR) from a UK National Health Service (NHS) perspective. *Value Health*. 2008;11(6):A483-A483.
  31. Cost-effectiveness of palliative care: a review of the literature. *The way forward initiative: an integrated palliative approach to care*. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association; 2012: <http://www.hpcintegration.ca/media/36290/TWF-Economics-report-Eng-final-webmar7.pdf>. Accessed 2020 Sep 22.
  32. Mittmann N, Hassan S, Seung SJ, et al. Health care resource utilization in the management of chronic lymphocytic leukemia at an Ontario Cancer Centre. *Value Health*. 2014;17(3):A79-A79.
  33. Ontario Ministry of Health Long-Term Care. Schedule of benefits for physician services under the Health Insurance Act: effective March 1, 2016. Toronto (ON): The Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; 2015; [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/physserv/sob\\_master20181115.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/physserv/sob_master20181115.pdf). Accessed 2020 Aug 8.
  34. Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. Ontario Case Costing Initiative (OCCI). 2017.
  35. Hillhouse E, Castonguay X A, Mathurin K, Beuchemin C, Lachaine J. Costs associated with the management of relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: an updated costing report. AbbVie Corporation. [Internal sponsor's report]. Saint-Laurent (QC): AbbVie Corporation; 2019.
  36. de Oliveira C, Pataky R, Bremner KE, et al. Phase-specific and lifetime costs of cancer care in Ontario, Canada. *BMC Cancer*. 2016;16(1):809.
  37. Health Canada. Notice of compliance database <https://health-products.canada.ca/noc-ac/index-eng.jsp>. Accessed 2020 Aug 19.
  38. Venetoclax (Venclexta®) in combination with obinutuzumab (Gazyva®) for the treatment of patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Pan-Canadian budget impact analysis - revised In: pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review sponsor submission: venclexta (venetoclax) 10 mg, 50 mg and 100 mg tablets in combination with obinutuzumab. AbbVie Corporation. [Internal sponsor's report]. Saint-Laurent (QC): AbbVie Corporation; 2020 May 6.
  39. Calquence (acalabrutinib capsules): 100 mg capsules, oral, antineoplastic agent [product monograph]. Mississauga (ON): AstraZeneca Canada Inc.; 2019 Aug 22.
  40. Imbruvica (ibrutinib): tablets 140 mg, 280 mg, 420 mg, 560 mg, capsules 140 mg Protein Kinase Inhibitor [product monograph]. Toronto (ON): Janssen Inc.; 2020 Apr 17.
  41. Treanda (bendamustine hydrochloride for injection): lyophilized powder for injection, for intravenous infusion, 25 mg/ vial and 100 mg/ vial, Antineoplastic [product monograph]. Toronto (ON): Teva Canada Limited; 2018 Jan 10.
  42. Ontario Ministry of Health Long-Term Care. Drug Benefit Prices (DBPs) for products reimbursed under the EAP. 2020; [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/drugs/odbf/odbf\\_except\\_access.aspx](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/drugs/odbf/odbf_except_access.aspx). Accessed 2020 Jun 15.
  43. Cancer Care Ontario. Position statement to address use of height and weight in the best equation to calculate body surface area in order to minimize calculation errors. <https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/assets/CCOBODYCalculation.pdf>. Accessed 2020 Aug 26.

44. Clinical Study Report: BO25323 (CLL14), research report no. 1088081. A prospective, open-label, multicenter randomized phase III trial to compare the efficacy and safety of a combined regimen of obinutuzumab and venetoclax (GDC-0199/ABT 199) versus obinutuzumab and chlorambucil in previously untreated patients with CLL and coexisting medical conditions [internal sponsor's report]. Cologne (Germany): Hoffman-La Roche Ltd.; 2019 Feb 4.
45. BC Cancer. Lymphoma & myeloma chemotherapy protocols. <http://www.bccancer.bc.ca/health-professionals/clinical-resources/chemotherapy-protocols/lymphoma-myeloma>. Accessed 2020 Jun 15.
46. Cancer Care Ontario. Funded evidence-informed regimens. <https://www.cancercareontario.ca/en/drugformulary/regimens>. Accessed 2020 Jun 15.
47. Alberta Health Services. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia *Clinical practice guideline LYHE-007*. Edmonton (AB): Alberta Health Services; 2019: <https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/assets/info/hp/cancer/if-hp-cancer-guide-lyhe007-cll.pdf>. Accessed 2020 Jun 15.
48. Jeyakumaran D, Kempel A, Cote S. PSY3 - An assessment of the number of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients eligible for front-line treatment but unsuitable for full-dose fludarabine across the European Union. *Value Health*. 2016;19(7):A574-A575.
49. Lachaine J, Beauchemin C, Guinan K, et al. PCN237 Impact of oral targeted therapy on the economic burden of CLL in Canada. *Value Health*. 2019;22(Supplement 3):S481-S482.
50. Parikh SA, Rabe KG, Kay NE, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia in young ( $\leq 55$  years) patients: a comprehensive analysis of prognostic factors and outcomes. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(1):140-147.
51. Indigenous Services Canada. Guide for pharmacy benefits: non-insured health benefits. 2020: <https://www.sac-isc.gc.ca/eng/1576430557687/1576430636766>. Accessed 2020 Jun 15.
52. Statistics Canada. Table 13-10-0751-01 Number of prevalent cases and prevalence proportions of primary cancer, by prevalence duration, cancer type, attained age group and sex.: <https://doi.org/10.25318/1310075101-eng>. Accessed 2020 Aug 26.
53. Statistics Canada. Table 13-10-0747-01 Number of new cases and age-standardized rates of primary cancer, by cancer type and sex.: <https://doi.org/10.25318/1310074701-eng>. Accessed 2020 Aug 26.
54. Fleurence RL, Hollenbeck CS. Rates and probabilities in economic modelling: transformation, translation and appropriate application. *Pharmacoconomics*. 2007;25 1:3-6.