CADTH | pCODR PAN-CANADIAN ONCOLOGY DRUG REVIEW

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
Initial Economic Guidance Report

Pembrolizumab (Keytruda) for Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma

November 2, 2017

DISCLAIMER

Not a Substitute for Professional Advice

This report is primarily intended to help Canadian health systems leaders and policymakers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may use this report, they are made available for informational and educational purposes only. This report should not be used as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision making process, or as a substitute for professional medical advice.

Liability

pCODR does not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services disclosed. The information is provided "as is" and you are urged to verify it for yourself and consult with medical experts before you rely on it. You shall not hold pCODR responsible for how you use any information provided in this report.

Reports generated by pCODR are composed of interpretation, analysis, and opinion on the basis of information provided by pharmaceutical manufacturers, tumour groups, and other sources. pCODR is not responsible for the use of such interpretation, analysis, and opinion. Pursuant to the foundational documents of pCODR, any findings provided by pCODR are not binding on any organizations, including funding bodies. pCODR hereby disclaims any and all liability for the use of any reports generated by pCODR (for greater certainty, "use" includes but is not limited to a decision by a funding body or other organization to follow or ignore any interpretation, analysis, or opinion provided in a pCODR report).

FUNDING

The pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review is funded collectively by the provinces and territories, with the exception of Quebec, which does not participate in pCODR at this time.

INQUIRIES

Inquiries and correspondence about the pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review (pCODR) should be directed to:

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
154 University Avenue, Suite 300
Toronto, ON
M5H 3Y9

Telephone: 613-226-2553
Toll Free: 1-866-988-1444
Fax: 1-866-662-1778
Email: info@pcodr.ca
Website: www.cadth.ca/pcodr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 ECONOMIC GUIDANCE IN BRIEF

1.1 Submitted Economic Evaluation

The economic analysis **submitted to pCODR by Merck Canada Inc.** compared pembrolizumab to gemcitabine in patients with relapsed/ refractory classical Hodgkin Lymphoma for two populations.

- Cohort 1: Patients who failed to achieve a response or progressed after autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) and have relapsed after treatment with or failed to respond to brentuximab vedotin (BV) post ASCT; OR
- Cohort 2: Patients who did not receive an ASCT and have relapsed after treatment with or failed to respond to BV.

These target populations are based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria for cohorts 1 and 2 within KN-087.

Table 1 Submitted Economic Model

Funding Request/Patient Population Modelled	*The two target populations as defined above are based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria of cohorts within the KN-087 trial.*
Type of Analysis	*CEA & CUA*
Type of Model	*Two models were submitted:* - *Markov state transition. Markov model was submitted as base case due to lack of data and extrapolation over long term.* - *Partitioned survival (presented as a scenario analysis)*
Comparator	*Gemcitabine (as proxy for chemotherapy)*
Year of costs	*2017*
Time Horizon	*20 years*
Perspective	*Government (public payer perspective)*
Cost of pembrolizumab	*Pembrolizumab costs $4,400 per 100mg* • *At the recommended dose of 200mg every 3 weeks, pembrolizumab costs:* o *$ 419.05 per day* o *$ 11733.33 per 28-day*
Cost of gemcitabine*	*Gemcitabine costs $270 per 1000mg* • *At the recommended dose of 1000mg/m2 every 4 weeks, pembrolizumab costs:* o *$ 16.39 per day* o *$ 459 per 28-day course*
Model Structure	*A Markov state transition model using transition probabilities to simulate the flow of cohort patients between health states over time. Three sets of transition probabilities were used: progression free to progressive disease, progression free to death and progressive disease to death.*
Key Data Sources	*KN-087: an open-label, single-arm, multi-cohort phase II trial of pembrolizumab in R/R cHL. Primary endpoint was ORR, with secondary endpoints of PFS and OS.*

** Drug costs for all comparators in this table are based on costing information under license from IMS Health Canada Inc. concerning the following information service(s): DeltaPA. and may be different from those used by the submitter in the economic model. The analyses, conclusions, opinions and statements expressed are those of the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health and not those of IMS Health Canada Inc. Quintile IMS DeltaPA– accessed on August 15 , 2017*

1.2 Clinical Considerations

According to the pCODR Clinical Guidance Panel (CGP), the comparison of pembrolizumab to gemcitabine is appropriate.

- Relevant issues identified included:
    - The CGP agree that there is a net clinical benefit to pembrolizumab, compared to chemotherapy, in the treatment of patients with relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma with disease progression after both ASCT and BV, or who are not eligible for ASCT and disease progression after BV.
    - The comparison of pembrolizumab to gemcitabine is appropriate for the requested patient population given the absence of randomized phase III data.
    - The follow up of the clinical trials informing the comparative efficacy is relatively short and additional data on longer term toxicities and PFS outcomes are awaited.
    - The data supporting this conclusion are from non-randomized studies. Hence there is no reliable estimate of the comparative efficacy or effectiveness of pembrolizumab to chemotherapy. Results from a phase III randomized comparison of BV to pembrolizumab in BV-naïve patients (or those with a previous documented response to BV or BV-containing regimens as part of salvage therapy or primary therapy) will provide important information on relative PFS and toxicities with these agents as well as comparative data on quality of life.
    - The CGP agreed that pembrolizumab has a favourable toxicity profile compared to chemotherapy. Adverse events were considered in the model and applied as a once-off cost at model start.

Summary of registered clinician input relevant to the economic analysis
Registered clinicians noted that pembrolizumab fills a gap in treatment for these patients and provides good tumour control. It was also recognized that there is little long-term data on these patients. Further, pembrolizumab has a good safety profile. Both progression-free survival and adverse events were incorporated into the model.

Summary of patient input relevant to the economic analysis
Patients would like individualized treatment options that will offer disease control, with fewer side effects. Patients with experience with pembrolizumab noted that it improved their quality of life and reported few side effects. Both adverse events and quality of life were incorporated into the model.

Summary of Provincial Advisory Group (PAG) input relevant to the economic analysis
PAG considered the following factors (enablers or barriers) important to consider if implementing a funding recommendation for pembrolizumab which are relevant to the economic analysis:

<u>Enablers</u>

- New treatment option that fills an unmet need for relapsed or refractor classical HL.
- Flat dosing, with no wastage given two vial sizes.
- Administered in an outpatient chemotherapy center.

<u>Barriers</u>

- Additional chair time.
- High cost drug.
- Requires monitoring of immune-mediated reactions post-infusions. Note that treatment-specific monitoring was not included in the model.

Other

- The dose in the funding request is for flat dosing of 200mg, which matches that of the KEYNOTE-087 trial. PAG noted trials suggest that weight based dose of 2mg/kg and 200mg fixed dose are similar. Although fixed dose would minimize drug wastage, PAG is seeking guidance on weight based dose for cHL given the high cost of fixed dose compared to weight based dose for patients weighing less than 100kg.

1.3 Submitted and EGP Reanalysis Estimates

Table 2. Submitted and EGP Estimates

Estimates (range/point)	Submitted	EGP Reanalysis Lower Bound	EGP Reanalysis Upper Bound
ΔE (LY)	1.16	0.933	N/A
Progression-free	1.23	1.086	
Post-progression	-0.07	-0.153	
ΔE (QALY)	1.04	0.898	N/A
Progression-free	1.05	0.95	
Post-progression	-0.02	-0.06	
ΔC ($)	$169,475	$177,046	N/A
ICER estimate ($/QALY)	**$163,544**	**$197,055**	**N/A**

The main assumptions and limitations with the submitted economic evaluation were:

- There was no comparative effectiveness data to inform the economic model. Therefore, a naïve indirect comparison was done. However, in this comparison, the manufacturer did not adjust for baseline factors that could be potential effect modifiers. Further, the manufacturer did report that there were baseline differences in age, ECOG score, presence of B symptoms, lymph nodes, bulky disease and early relapse. These differences and the lack of adjustment for these factors could impact both the magnitude of the effect and the generalizability of the results.

- Gemcitabine was chosen as a proxy for all chemotherapies in the comparator arm. The assumption was made that all chemotherapies would be equal in effectiveness and only the cost of gemcitabine was used in the economic model.

- The model assumes that patients in the progression-free state are at the **same** risk of death from other causes as the general population, once matched on gender and age. The CGP noted that this is not a reasonable assumption for this patient population.

1.4 Detailed Highlights of the EGP Reanalysis

The EGP made the following changes to the submitted economic model:

- Pembrolizumab treatment duration: based on PFS curve (and not on time on treatment curve in base case). Using a time on treatment curve to determine treatment duration includes only those who stay on the drug, with no treatment discontinuations with a

maximum number of 35 cycles—regardless of progression status. Though using the PFS curve to determine time on treatment may include the cost of those who discontinue early, the CGP indicated that there are patients who stay on pembrolizumab beyond the maximum time period of 24 months, and using the PFS curve allows us to a more conservative approach to costing pembrolizumab for all patients. Further, the indication of pembrolizumab is to treat until progression, and therefore aligns with using the progression-free survival curve to determine time on treatment. Given that we cannot modify median treatment duration, using the progression-free survival approach to model time on treatment is more conservative than the use of the time on treatment curve.

- Time horizon: 10 years (and not 20 years in submitted base case). The CGP stated that it is highly unlikely that patients would live beyond 10 years, and there is no data to inform the long term survival of this patient population. Though shortening the time horizon does not affect mortality rates, it truncates the accrual of benefits, which at this time are unknown, over the long term.
- Comparative effectiveness of pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy (gemcitabine). The data to inform this economic model was not from a head-to-head clinical trial but from an indirect comparison where adjustments for key baseline factors were not made, which could influence the magnitude of the effect and limit the generalizability of the results. As such, the EGP elected to use the lower 95% CI as the re-analysis given the uncertainty in this non-randomized data, to present a conservative estimate as the lower bound.

Table 3. Detailed Description of EGP Reanalysis

	ΔC	ΔE QALYs	ICUR (QALY)	
Baseline	$169,475	1.04	**$163,544**	-----
EGP's Reanalysis for the Best Case Estimate - Lower Bound				
Description of Reanalysis	ΔC	ΔE QALYs	ICUR (QALY)	Δ from baseline submitted ICER
Treatment duration - PFS curve	$181,599	1.04	**$175,244**	$11,700
Time horizon - 10 years	$166,436	0.97	**$171,517**	$7,973
Hazard ratio pembrolizumab: gemcitabine lower 95% CI	$167,858	0.96	**$174,351**	$10,807
Best case estimate - lower bound	$177,046	0.90	**$197,055**	$33,511
EGP's Reanalysis for the Best Case Estimate - Upper Bound				
Not applicable				
Best case estimate - upper bound	**No upper bound given uncertainty in data**			N/A

1.5 Key highlight of EGP one-way scenario analyses

Table 4. Key highlight of EGP one-way scenario analyses

Variable	Base Case Value	Sensitivity Analysis Value	Δ costs	Δ effects	Result ($/QALY)	Δ from baseline ICER
Base case			$169,475	1.04	$163,544	------
Cost per cycle - pembrolizumab	$8,800	$6,600	$129,302	1.04	$124,777	-$38,767
Cost per cycle - pembrolizumab	$8,800	$4,400	$89,129	1.04	$86,010	-$77,534
Cost per cycle - pembrolizumab	$8,800	$2,200	$48,956	1.04	$47,243	-$116,301

1.6 Evaluation of Submitted Budget Impact Analysis

The factors that most influence the budget impact analysis include:

- The change in the number of pembrolizumab eligible patients.
- Inclusion of administration costs.
- Market share.

Key limitations of the BIA model include basing treatment duration on time on treatment for pembrolizumab, and not progression-free survival. Using a time on treatment curve to determine treatment duration includes only those who stay on the drug—regardless of progression status. This parameter was not able to be modified and explored by the EGP.

1.7 Conclusions

The EGP's best estimate of ΔC and ΔE for pembrolizumab when compared to standard of care is:

- **A minimum of $197,055/QALY with no upper bound**
- **Within this range, it is difficult to determine where the best estimate would lie, given the lack of comparative effectiveness data.**
- **The extra cost of pembrolizumab is at least $177,046. The factors that most influence ΔC are the treatment duration, the cost of pembrolizumab, and the selection of either Cohort 1 or Cohort 2 (not combined).**
- **The extra clinical effect of pembrolizumab is between 0.90 and unknown (ΔE). The factors that most influence ΔE are the time horizon, utilities and the selection of either Cohort 1 or Cohort 2 (not combined).**

Overall conclusions of the submitted model:

- *Given the lack of comparative effectiveness estimates and the poor quality of the indirect treatment comparison, it is difficult to place an upper bound on the ICER, or to have an idea of where the ICER would lay.*
- *Though there is consensus from the CGP that there is net clinical benefit with this drug, it is not possible to determine the upper bound of the magnitude of this benefit given the available data.*

2 DETAILED TECHNICAL REPORT

This section outlines the technical details of the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel's evaluation of the economic evidence that is summarized in Section 1. Pursuant to the *pCODR Disclosure of Information Guidelines*, this section is not eligible for disclosure. It was provided to the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) for their deliberations.

3 ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This Economic Guidance Report was prepared by the pCODR Economic Guidance Panel and supported by the pCODR Lymphoma Clinical Guidance Panel and the pCODR Methods Team. This document is intended to advise the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC) regarding resource implications and the cost-effectiveness of Pembrolizumab (Keytruda) for Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. A full assessment of the clinical evidence of Pembrolizumab (Keytruda) for Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma is beyond the scope of this report and is addressed by the relevant pCODR Clinical Guidance Report. Details of the pCODR review process can be found on the pCODR website (www.cadth.ca/pcodr).

pCODR considers it essential that pERC recommendations be based on information that can be publicly disclosed. Information included in the Economic Guidance Report was handled in accordance with the *pCODR Disclosure of Information Guidelines*. There was no information redacted from this publicly available Guidance Report.

This Initial Economic Guidance Report is publicly posted at the same time that a pERC Initial Recommendation is issued. A Final Economic Guidance Report will be publicly posted when a pERC Final Recommendation is issued. The Final Economic Guidance Report will supersede this Initial Economic Guidance Report.

The Economic Guidance Panel is comprised of economists selected from a pool of panel members established by the pCODR Secretariat. The panel members were selected by the pCODR secretariat, as outlined in the pCODR Nomination/Application Information Package and the Economic Guidance Panel Terms of Reference, which are available on the pCODR website (www.cadth.ca/pcodr). Final selection of the pool of Economic Guidance Panel members was made by the pERC Chair in consultation with the pCODR Executive Director. The Economic Guidance Panel is editorially independent of the provincial and territorial Ministries of Health and the provincial cancer agencies.

REFERENCES

1. Chen R, Zinzani PL, Fanale MA, Armand P, Johnson NA. Phase 2 Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Pembrolizumab for Relapsed/Refractory Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Journal Clinical Oncology*. Published online on April 25, 2017.
2. Life Tables, Canada, Provinces and Territories 2010 to 2012. 2016. Available from: http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/84-537-x/84-537-x2016006-eng.htm.
3. Cheah CY, Chihara D, Horowitz S, et al. Patients with classical Hodgkin lymphoma experiencing disease progression after treatment with brentuximab vedotin have poor outcomes. Ann Oncol. 2016;27(7):1317-1323.
4. Swinburn P, Shingler S, Acaster S, Lloyd A, Bonthapally V. Health utilities in relation to treatment response and adverse events in relapsed/refractory Hodgkin lymphoma and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2015;56(6):1839-1845.
5. Verma S, Rocchi A. Economic evaluation of antiaromatase agents in the second-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Support Care Cancer. 2003;11(11):728-734.
6. Babashov. Preliminary economic evaluation of Brentuximab Vedotin in relapsed and refractory Hodgkin Lymphoma: An "Early Look" Model based on phase II results. Available from: http://ir lib uwo ca/etd/676/. 2012. http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/676/.
7. Ng M, Waters J, Cunningham D, et al. Gemcitabine, cisplatin and methylprednisolone (GEM-P) is an effective salvage regimen in patients with relapsed and refractory lymphoma. British journal of cancer. 2005;92(8):1352-1357.
8. NICE. Paclitaxel as albumin-bound nanoparticles in combination with gemcitabine for previously untreated metastatic pancreatic cancer [TA360]. 2015.
9. NICE. Idelalisib for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia [TA359]. 2015.
10. NICE. Pixantrone monotherapy for treating multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's Bcell lymphoma [TA306]. 2014.
11. NICE. Everolimus in combination with exemestane for treating advanced HER2-negative hormone-receptor-positive breast cancer after endocrine therapy [TA295]. 2013.
12. NICE. Lymphoma (Hodgkin's, CD30-positive) - brentuximab vedotin [ID722]. Available from: https://www nice org uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-tag467. 2016.
13. PCODR. Final Economic Guidance Report: Ceritinib (Zykadia) for Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. 2015.
14. Ontario Ministry of Health and Longer Term Care. Consultations and visits: Family practice and practice in general (00). 2015. Available from: www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/sob/physserv/a_consul.pdf.
15. Lachaine J, Mathurin K, Barakat S, Schuh AC. Economic evaluation of arsenic trioxide for treatment of newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukaemia in Canada. Hematol Oncol. 2015;33(4):229-238.
16. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Patient Cost Estimator. 2017. Available from: https://www.cihi.ca/en/patient-cost-estimator.
17. Merck. Data on file. 2016.
18. Cancer Care Ontario. CRBPPEME Regimen - CARBOplatin-Pemetrexed - Lung - Non-Small Cell. 2016 [cited 2016 Oct 20].Available from: https://www.cancercare.on.ca/cms/One.aspx?portalId=1377&pageId=10760. Accessed
19. Cancer Care Ontario. Palliative Hodgkin's Lymphoma Regimens. 2016.
20. Reaume MN, Leighl NB, Mittmann N, et al. Economic analysis of a randomized phase III trial of gemcitabine plus vinorelbine compared with cisplatin plus vinorelbine or cisplatin plus gemcitabine for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (Italian GEMVIN3/NCIC CTG BR14 trial). Lung Cancer. 2013;82(1):115-120.