

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

Frequency of Long-Term Indwelling Urinary Catheter Replacement: Clinical Effectiveness and Cost- Effectiveness

Service Line: Rapid Response Service
Version: 1.0
Publication Date: August 21, 2019
Report Length: 6 Pages

Authors: Shannon Hill, Lory Picheca

Cite As: *Frequency of long-term indwelling urinary catheter replacement: clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness*. Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Aug. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca

Research Questions

1. What is the clinical effectiveness of long-term indwelling urinary catheter replacement every month?
2. What is the cost-effectiveness of long-term indwelling urinary catheter replacement every month?

Key Findings

One systematic review was identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of replacement of long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults. No relevant health technology assessments, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, or economic evaluations were identified.

Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE ALL (1946—) via Ovid, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine’s MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were urinary catheters and device removal. Search filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or network meta-analyses, any types of clinical trials or observational studies, and economic studies. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2014 and August 6, 2019. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	Adults with long-term indwelling urinary catheters
Intervention	Replacement of long-term indwelling urinary catheters every month
Comparator	A different frequency of long-term indwelling urinary catheter replacement (e.g., weekly, bi-monthly)
Outcomes	Q1: Clinical effectiveness (e.g., safety [rates of infections, catheter complications, adverse events]) Q2: Cost-effectiveness (e.g., incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year or health benefit gained)
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic review, meta-analyses, randomized control trials, non-randomized studies, economic evaluations.

Results

Rapid Response reports are organized so that the higher quality evidence is presented first. Therefore, health technology assessment reports, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses are presented first. These are followed by randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, and economic evaluations.

One systematic review¹ was identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of replacement of long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults. No relevant health technology assessments, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, or economic evaluations were identified.

Additional references of potential interest are provided in the appendix.

Overall Summary of Findings

One systematic review¹ was identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of replacement of long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults.

The authors of the systematic review¹ focused on the effectiveness of different policies for replacing long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults. The authors reported that there was insufficient evidence for the outcome of incidences of symptomatic urinary tract infections in people who had their catheter changed only when clinically indicated compared with monthly changes in addition to changes when clinically indicated.¹ In addition, the authors stated that there was a number of important patient outcomes which had not been assessed.¹ These patient outcomes included patient satisfaction, quality of life, urinary tract trauma, and economic outcomes.¹

References Summarized

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

1. Cooper FP, Alexander CE, Sinha S, Omar MI. Policies for replacing long-term indwelling urinary catheters in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;7:CD011115. [PubMed: PM27457774](#)

Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

Economic Evaluations

No literature identified.

Appendix — Further Information

Previous CADTH Reports

2. Management of patients with long-term indwelling urinary catheters: a review of guidelines. (*CADTH rapid response: summary with critical appraisal*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019:
<https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2019/RC1112%20Indwelling%20Urinary%20Catheters%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2019 Aug 20.
3. Cleansing methods during the insertion and maintenance of indwelling urinary catheters: clinical effectiveness and guidelines. (*CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2017:
<https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2017/RB1110%20Infection%20Control%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2019 Aug 20.

Systematic Reviews

Catheter Utilization

4. Durant DJ. Nurse-driven protocols and the prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections: a systematic review. *Am J Infect Control*. 2017;45(12):1331-1341.
[PubMed: PM126294981](#).

Alternative Intervention

Removal of Catheter

5. Menshawy A, Ghanem E, Menshawy E, et al. Early versus delayed removal of indwelling urinary catheter after elective caesarean delivery: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2018:1-161.
[PubMed: PM30522371](#)
6. Zhang P, Hu WL, Cheng B, Cheng L, Xiong XK, Zeng YJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing immediate and delayed catheter removal following uncomplicated hysterectomy. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2015;26(5):665-674.
[PubMed: PM25398392](#)

Non-Randomized Studies

Catheter Processes

7. Stancovici A, Galvan-Anderson B. Taking every precaution – an inter-professional approach to reducing catheter associated urinary tract infections in an acute care medical center. *Am J Infect Control*. 2019;47:S13-S13.
[PubMed: PM136691031](#).

Alternative Comparator

8. Babich T, Zusman O, Elbaz M, et al. Replacement of urinary catheter for urinary tract infections: a prospective observational study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2018;66(9):1779-1784.
[PubMed: PM30094820](#)

Catheter Use Protocol

9. Dave C, Faraj K, Vakharia P, Boura J, Hollander J. Quality improvement foley project to reduce catheter-related trauma in a large community hospital. *J Healthc Qual.* 2018;40(1):51-57.
[PubMed: PM29095744](#)

Review Articles

10. Galiczewski JM. Interventions for the prevention of catheter associated urinary tract infections in intensive care units: an integrative review. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs.* 2016;32:1-11.
[PubMed: PM111498865.](#)

Additional References

Electronic Book

11. McGoldrick M. Frequency for changing long-term indwelling urethral catheters. *Home Healthcare Now.* 2016;34(2):105-106.
https://www.nursingcenter.com/journalarticle?Article_ID=3304017&Journal_ID=2695880&Issue_ID=3303830. Accessed 2019 Aug 20.