

**CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT:  
SUMMARY WITH CRITICAL APPRAISAL**

# Congregate Meal Programs for Older Adults Living in the Community: A Review of Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line: Rapid Response Service  
Version: 1.0  
Publication Date: January 10, 2019  
Report Length: 8 Pages

**Authors:** Srabani Banerjee, Hannah Loshak

**Cite As:** Congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community: a review of clinical effectiveness, Ottawa: CADTH; 2019 Jan. (CADTH rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal).

**ISSN:** 1922-8147 (online)

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

**Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to [Requests@CADTH.ca](mailto:Requests@CADTH.ca)**

## Context and Policy Issues

The population of older adults (aged 65 years and older) in Canada has been increasing steadily; growing from 8% in 1971 to 14% in 2010.<sup>1</sup> It is projected that in 2036, seniors would represent 23% to 25% of the total population and could be between 9.9 and 10.9 million in number.<sup>1</sup> The number of seniors aged 80 years and older is estimated to reach 3.3 million by 2036.<sup>1</sup> Health problems generally increase with age.

Under-nutrition is a concern in the elderly population and results in poorer functional status, greater health services utilization, and higher likelihood of mortality.<sup>2</sup> Combatting under-nutrition can be challenging, as access to sufficient and healthy foods may be difficult.<sup>2</sup> Difficulties may arise because of factors such inability to go grocery shopping and inability to cook due to physical disabilities.<sup>3</sup> It is important to address the nutritional needs of the seniors and to enable them to live independently in the community.

An alternative to long term care facilities would be the creation of non-institutional settings to provide assistance to vulnerable older adults to maintain independence, delay disease and disability, and remain living in their homes and communities.<sup>4</sup> It was reported that congregate nutrition sites reduce food insecurity and nutrition risk among older adults, and that one of the factors contributing to improved food intake was from the social aspect that is an integral part of congregate meal programs.<sup>5</sup> There is suggestion that participation in congregate meal programs improves daily nutrient intake, nutrition status, social interactions, and functionality in older adults.<sup>6</sup> These improvements have the potential to affect health, quality of life, and healthcare utilization.

A previous CADTH rapid response report (summary of abstracts) on interventions for malnutrition in seniors had a broader objective.<sup>7</sup> It found one relevant systematic review and one relevant non-randomized study. The systematic review included nine studies, which reported improvement or stabilization in nutritional status or prevention of further decline. No results were presented in the abstract of the non-randomized study. The purpose of the current report is to review the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community.

## Research Question

What is the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community?

## Key Findings

No relevant evidence regarding the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community was identified.

## Methods

### Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2007 and December 3, 2018.

### Selection Criteria and Methods

One reviewer screened citations and selected studies. In the first level of screening, titles and abstracts were reviewed and potentially relevant articles were retrieved and assessed for inclusion. The final selection of full-text articles was based on the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

<b>Population</b>	Older adults aged $\geq 65$ years who are living in the community
<b>Intervention</b>	Congregate dining
<b>Comparator</b>	Usual care, any comparator; no comparator (before/after)
<b>Outcomes</b>	Clinical effectiveness (i.e., decreased healthcare utilization, quality of life, independence, social and mental health outcomes)
<b>Study Designs</b>	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews/meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and non-randomized studies

### Exclusion Criteria

Articles were excluded if they did not meet the selection criteria outlined in Table 1, they were duplicate publications, or were published prior to 2007.

### Critical Appraisal of Individual Studies

Critical appraisal was not performed as no eligible studies were identified

## Summary of Evidence

### Quantity of Research Available

A total of 464 citations were identified in the literature search. Following screening of titles and abstracts, 437 citations were excluded and 27 potentially relevant reports from the electronic search were retrieved for full-text review. No potentially relevant publications were retrieved from the grey literature search for full text review. Of these potentially relevant articles, 27 publications were excluded for various reasons, and no publications met the inclusion criteria and were included in this report. Appendix 1 presents the PRISMA flowchart of the study selection.

## Summary of Findings

No relevant evidence regarding the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community was identified; therefore no summary can be provided.

## Limitations

There appears to be a gap in the literature with respect to evidence on the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for older adults living in the community. It is possible earlier studies reported on the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs; however that is beyond the scope of the current report.

## Conclusions and Implications for Decision or Policy Making

No relevant studies fitting the inclusion criteria regarding the clinical effectiveness of congregate meal programs for community-dwelling older adults were identified.

Three studies, which did not satisfy our inclusion criteria as they did not report relevant outcomes, but that provided some useful insights are discussed here. One study<sup>8</sup> assessed the use of congregate meal program among 151 community-dwelling older African Americans. They reported that the congregate meal service use was higher among individuals living alone compared to individuals living with others. They found that although it has been found that as income decreases, nutrition risk increases, only 12% of the low-income individuals who were aware of the congregate meal service actually used the service. A second study<sup>9</sup> was a survey of participants of Older Americans Act nutrition program, conducted in the USA. The survey found, that of those participating in the congregate nutrition program, 75% felt that the program helped them eat healthier, 94% thought that the meal was excellent or good; 68% felt that the program helped them live at home; and 83% felt that the program made them feel better.<sup>9</sup> A third study<sup>10</sup> was a survey of 901 participants of a nationwide congregate meal program in the USA. The survey found that 58% of the participants felt it helped them remain living at home, 82% thought it made them feel better, and 74% thought that the meals improved their health.<sup>10</sup>

Chen and Han reported that challenges associated with the implementation of an efficient community based service center (such as congregate meals program) may include maintaining quality of the services and concerns with respect to sustainability.<sup>11</sup> Some of the barriers associated with lack of success with congregate meals program may include lack of knowledge regarding the availability of such services, lack of nutrition education, transportation issues, and mobility issues.<sup>3,6</sup> Other barriers include negative perceptions about the program, misunderstanding of the eligibility criteria, and embarrassment to use the program.<sup>8</sup>

It is likely that many factors contribute to the success of a congregate meal program.. One study,<sup>12</sup> conducted in South Korea, examined the -usefulness of having a healthy eating program at the congregate meal site. The investigators surveyed 74 elderly individuals (majority of age above 70 years) of whom 41 received the healthy eating education on reducing salt intake and 33 did not receive the education. They found that the education program had a positive effect; it influenced the individuals to reduce the salt intake. Furthermore, they also mentioned that for the congregate meal programs to succeed, the foodservice providers need to be educated on healthy eating. A study<sup>13</sup> conducted in USA

mentioned that successful utilization of senior center meals was dependent on leadership, particularly that of the center director.

Well-designed studies are needed to assess the effectiveness of congregate meal programs for community-dwelling seniors, with respect to outcomes such as quality of life, social and mental health, independence, and impact on healthcare utilization.

## References

1. Canada year book (*Chapter 28: seniors*). Ottawa (ON): Statistics Canada; 2011: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/11-402-x/2011000/pdf/seniors-aines-eng.pdf>. Accessed 2019 Jan 9.
2. Buys DR, Locher JL. What does the evidence reveal regarding home- and community-based nutrition services for older adults? *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;34(2):81-84.
3. Gergerich E, Shobe M, Christy K. Sustaining our nation's seniors through federal food and nutrition programs. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;34(3):273-291.
4. Lee JS, Shannon J, Brown A. Characteristics of older Georgians receiving Older Americans Act nutrition program services and other home- and community-based services: findings from the Georgia Aging Information Management System (GA AIMS). *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;34(2):168-188.
5. Sylvie AK, Jiang Q, Cohen N. Identification of environmental supports for healthy eating in older adults. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2013;32(2):161-174.
6. Thomas L, Jr., Almanza B, Ghiselli R. Nutrition knowledge of rural older populations: can congregate meal site participants manage their own diets? *J Nutr Elder*. 2010;29(3):325-344.
7. Marchand DK, Argaez C. Interventions for malnutrition in seniors: clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and guidelines. (*CADTH Rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2018: <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2018/RB1263%20Senior%20Malnutrition%20Interventions%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2019 Jan 9.
8. Weddle D, Wilson FL, Berkshire SD, Heuberger R. Evaluating nutrition risk factors and other determinants of use of an urban congregate meal program by older African Americans. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2012;31(1):38-58.
9. Lloyd JL, Wellman NS. Older Americans Act nutrition programs: a community-based nutrition program helping older adults remain at home. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr*. 2015;34(2):90-109.
10. Beasley JM, Sevick MA, Kirshner L, Mangold M, Chodosh J. Congregate meals: opportunities to help vulnerable older adults achieve diet and physical activity recommendations. *J Frailty Aging*. 2018;7(3):182-186.
11. Chen L, Han WJ. Shanghai: Front-runner of community-based eldercare in China. *J Aging Soc Policy*. 2016;28(4):292-307.
12. Seo S, Kim OY, Ahn J. Healthy eating exploratory program for the elderly: low salt intake in congregate meal service. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2016;20(3):316-324.
13. Stephens R, Kwah H. Critical factors in the successful utilization of senior center meals. *Care Manag J*. 2009;10(4):163-175.

## Appendix 1: Selection of Included Studies

