

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE REPORT: SUMMARY OF ABSTRACTS

Absorbable Strap Hernia Fixation Devices Versus Absorbable Tack Hernia Fixation Devices: Comparative Clinical Effectiveness

Service Line:	Rapid Response Service
Version:	1.0
Publication Date:	September 18, 2020
Report Length:	5 Pages

Authors: Diksha Kumar, Casey Gray, Mary-Doug Wright, Melissa Walter

Cite As: *Absorbable Strap Hernia Fixation Devices Versus Absorbable Tack Hernia Fixation Devices: Comparative Clinical Effectiveness*. Ottawa: CADTH; 2020 Sep. (CADTH rapid response report: summary of abstracts).

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to requests@cadth.ca

Research Question

1. What is the comparative clinical effectiveness of absorbable strap fixation devices with a 5mm non-spiral shaft versus absorbable tack fixation devices with a 5mm spiral shaft for patients requiring a hernia repair?

Key Findings

No relevant literature was identified regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness of absorbable strap fixation devices with a 5mm non-spiral shaft versus absorbable tack fixation devices with a 5mm spiral shaft for patients requiring a hernia repair.

Methods

Literature Search Methods

A limited literature search was conducted by an information specialist on key resources including MEDLINE, the Cochrane Library, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search strategy was comprised of both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. The main search concepts were absorbable tack fixation devices, including STRAP25 and SECURESTRAP, and patients with a hernia. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2010 and September 6, 2020. Internet links were provided, where available.

Selection Criteria and Summary Methods

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in Table 1. Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications.

Table 1: Selection Criteria

Population	Patients with a hernia, any age
Intervention	Absorbable strap fixation device with a 5mm non-spiral shaft (e.g., STRAP25 by Johnson & Johnson, i.e., Ethicon SECURESTRAP fixation device)
Comparator	Absorbable tack fixation device with a 5mm spiral shaft (e.g., ABSTACK/ABSTACK30X by Medtronic)
Outcomes	Clinical effectiveness (e.g., absorbency rate [i.e., how long it takes to absorb], rate of re-injury or recurrence, length of hospitalization, patient quality of life, including mobility); Safety (e.g., adverse events, pain, hardware failure, post-operative complications)
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies

Results

No relevant health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, or non-randomized studies were identified regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness of absorbable strap fixation devices with a 5mm non-spiral shaft versus absorbable tack fixation devices with a 5mm spiral shaft for patients requiring a hernia repair.

References of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in the appendix.

Overall Summary of Findings

No relevant literature was found regarding the comparative clinical effectiveness of absorbable strap fixation devices with a 5mm non-spiral shaft versus absorbable tack fixation devices with a 5mm spiral shaft for patients requiring a hernia repair; therefore, no summary can be provided.

References Summarized

Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

No literature identified.

Randomized Controlled Trials

No literature identified.

Non-Randomized Studies

No literature identified.

Appendix — Further Information

Randomized Controlled Trials

1. Cristaudo A, Nayak A, Martin S, Adib R, Martin I. A prospective randomised trial comparing mesh types and fixation in totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repairs. *Int J Surg*. 2015 May;17:79-82.
[PubMed: PM25845302](#)

Alternative Comparator

2. Pawlak M, Hilgers RD, Bury K, Lehmann A, Owczuk R, Smietanski M. Comparison of two different concepts of mesh and fixation technique in laparoscopic ventral hernia repair: a randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc*. 2016 Mar;30(3):1188-1197.
[PubMed: PM26139491](#)

Pre-Post Study

3. Bougard H, Bringman S, Hope WW, et al. Clinical and patient-reported outcomes after absorbable strap fixation for ventral hernia repair. *Surg Technol Int*. 2017 Dec 22;31:83-92.
[PubMed: PM29315451](#)

Review Articles

4. Stoikes N, Voeller GR. New developments in hernia repair: a 2013 update. *Surg Technol Int*. 2013 Sep;23:107-111.
[PubMed: PM23700182](#)