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Cancer Drug Funding and Real World Evidence (RWE)

- Rising Cost of Cancer Drugs
- Limitations of Clinical Trial Data
- Gaps in Cancer Drug Funding Process

RWE How?
Objective: Collaboration to develop a framework for the generation and use of Real-World Evidence for cancer drug funding decisions in Canada

Phase One: Understand Current State of RWE in Canada
# AIMS AND METHODS

## Aim
Explore stakeholders’ views regarding the development and implementation of a framework to incorporate RWE in cancer drug funding decisions in Canada.

## Methods
- Semi-structured interviews (in person / phone)
- Pan-Canadian and international sample of stakeholders
- Convenience / Snowball Sample
- Thematic analysis
### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International</th>
<th>Patient Rep</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>Decision Makers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>n</em>=3</td>
<td><em>n</em>=4</td>
<td><em>n</em>=4</td>
<td><em>n</em>=5</td>
<td><em>n</em>=14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=30

April – December 2018

Atlantic, Central (minus Quebec), Prairies, West Coast

Stakeholders:
- Provincial and federal decision-makers
- Academics
- Industry
- Patient group representatives
- International users
Results

Current State Of Data

Need for Collaboration

Culture Shift

Building Capacity
Theme 1: Culture Shift

• Enthusiasm

• Close RCT evidence gaps / shortcomings

• Delisting, renegotiations, supporting current drug funding

However...

• RCTs = gold standard
• RWE = lower quality evidence

Conservative culture...

"I guess it's just easier with randomized controlled trials, because I think the approach and the accepted analytical methods are much better known. I think people are more comfortable with them. And there's a lot more debate, and I guess uncertainty about what the best methods would be in real world evidence because there's so many variables. I'm not sure that there's one way. It creates a situation where it's, I guess easy to criticize any analysis that's done. I would say, in general, they work very hard to make sure that it's an appropriate analysis, but they could still be quite subject to criticism."

#014

The use of non RCT generated data: Accept risks inherent to RWE
Theme 2: Current State of Data

Current Data Insufficient for Decision-Making

Collection:
- Incomplete ("patchy") datasets
- Varied data collection practices
- Lacking key decision-making measures?

Access:
- Limited / time and resource intensive / Privacy vs. access

"I also think that there’s still a scarcity of data, that we don’t have data for everything yet. We have a lot of data, but it seems to be unorganized and lack of consistency of how people are gathering data. So, until we really can get our data together, and that it’s shared, it’s consistent, it’s gathered in the same way, and it’s pool-able, until that is done I think it can be challenging to really use the data” - #010
Theme 3: Building Capacity

Investment in Building Capacity

- Few with RWE experience in public setting
- Requires time and cost intensive investment (staff, systems, etc). Who Pays?
- Who leads?

“So it’s a kind of problematic issue right now to be pursuing real world evidence based agreements for too many products because they’re very complex and it takes a long time and it takes a lot of resources because capacity is very stretched” - #07
Theme 4: Need for Collaboration

Increase Collaboration Among Stakeholders

Systems currently operating in “silos”:
- Clinicians, patients, industry, policy-makers
- Leads to duplication, inefficiencies

Public / Industry Relations:
- Hesitant
- Opportunity to improve relations
- Industry will need to have a role in RWE

“If you're going to do these studies, there has to be a change in the attitudes between the players. Like, the payers, government, and cancer agencies, typically don't have a really good relationship with industry...only if you have that kind of collaborative environment, would you actually be able to undertake some of these studies efficiently and effectively.” - #002

RWE an opportunity to evolve how stakeholders work together: revisit collaboration with industry?
Opportunities for Implementation

Leverage Decision-Makers’ enthusiasm for RWE and desire for systems transformation

Lack of understanding of how RWE is operationalized
• Address gaps in understanding how RWE works in application

Work done to address barriers to adoption
• Provide transparency on what has already been achieved
• Clarify perceived barriers that will not actually impact adoption